Q. 1 Consumer Question: The 2009 water management agreement governs the use of 2 provincial water resources. 3 4 (a) Does Nalcor acknowledge that the water management agreement gives priority 5 to any contracts CFLCo has with Hydro-Quebec? If not, why not? 6 (b) Does Nalcor's plan for Muskrat Falls involve Nalcor drawing on more winter 7 generation from the Upper Churchill than the 300 megawatts of Churchill Falls 8 power that it currently has rights to? If so, what is the basis, including the 9 contractual basis, for Nalcor's plan? 10 (c) How much of Nalcor's entitlement to winter generation from the Upper 11 Churchill is used to supply local Labrador needs today and over the planning 12 horizon out until 2041? 13 (d) Does Nalcor assume that all or substantially all generation at Muskrat Falls 14 available at times when south-bound transmission facilities are congested will be stored in the reservoir above Churchill Falls? If yes, please explain the timing 15 16 of return of that generation and the contractual basis for that return of 17 generation. Explain any impacts Nalcor's plans for the use of upper Churchill 18 storage and generation facilities will have on Hydro Quebec. 19 20 21 A. (a) Nalcor interprets this question to mean whether the Water Management 22 Agreement can adversely affect the 1969 Hydro Quebec Power Contract and the 23 1998 Guaranteed Winter Availability Contract. 24 25 As indicated in Nalcor's response to CA/KPL-Nalcor-204, the operation of the 26 Water Management shall not adversely affect a provision of a contract entered 27 into prior to the Water Management Agreement, or a renewal of that contract.

Since the 1969 Hydro Quebec Power Contract and the 1998 Guaranteed Winter Availability Contract were entered into prior to the establishment of the terms of the Water Management Agreement, the Water Management Agreement will not adversely affect those contracts.

(b) Nalcor's plans for Muskrat Falls contemplate drawing on available Churchill Falls generation capacity not required to meet the requirements of other Churchill Falls delivery obligations. The basis for this is Section 7.1 (c) (ii) of the Water Management Agreement¹.

(c) Please refer to Nalcor's response to (b) above. Please note Nalcor is not relying on availability of the 300 MW recall allocation for supply to the Island. In Labrador, the amount of recall currently used is approximately 190 MW. By 2041, this is projected to be approximately 210 MW, excluding any additional industrial demand.

(d) Muskrat Falls production beyond amounts scheduled for delivery over the Labrador Island Transmission Link or scheduled for export will be stored in the Churchill Falls reservoir. The energy will be returned from Churchill Falls at times when (i) the energy is required to meet Muskrat Falls delivery obligations and (ii) when generation at Churchill Falls is available. The contractual basis for this arrangement is the Water Management Agreement², the terms of which were established by Board Order PU 8 (2010).

-

¹ Exhibit 17, page 13

² Exhibit 17

CA/KPL-Nalcor-276 Muskrat Falls Review

Page 3 of 3

- 1 As indicated in Nalcor's response to (a) above, the operation of the Water
- 2 Management Agreement will have no impact on Hydro Quebec.