

1 Q. Consumer Question: In PUB-Nalcor-46, Nalcor indicates that under a COS
2 agreement and to achieve an internal rate of return of 8.4%, the price of Muskrat
3 Falls power would be \$214 per MWh in year 1 and decline each year thereafter.

4 (a) What would the starting price be if the Muskrat Falls project had to
5 achieve a 10% internal rate of return?

6 (b) If this price series was used to the PPA then what would the CPW of
7 the PPA be?
8
9

10 A. For the purposes of answering this information request, Nalcor interprets the 10%
11 internal rate of return as being a total cost of capital. Accordingly, the regulated
12 discount rate would have to increase to 10% to properly reflect CPW results.
13

14 (a) If the total cost of capital for the Muskrat Falls were 10%, the cost of Muskrat
15 falls power would increase from \$214 /MWh to \$258 /MWh in year 1.
16

17 (b) Using a discount rate consistent with the cost of capital, the CPW for the cost of
18 service power purchases would be \$2,372 million (\$2010). This CPW will be
19 comparable to CA/KPL-Nalcor-245. However, this power purchase CPW is not
20 comparable to the reference DG2 CPW analysis because of the change in the
21 cost of capital and the corresponding discount rate.