

1 Q. Consumer Question: Nalcor is deferring receiving its equity return payment in the
2 early years of the project to keep power rates low in early years of the project. Does
3 the effect of this compounding of the 10 % equity while unpaid have any adverse
4 impact on consumers? The projected debt cost at 7.4 % for the project is lower than
5 the 10 % equity burden. What are the negative consequences for consumers of
6 Nalcor's deferral of its equity return?

7

8

9 A. For the purposes of DG2 analysis, Muskrat Falls was assumed to be financed at 100
10 percent equity. The timing of the returns to equity for the Muskrat Falls investment
11 itself is related solely to the alternative approach to pricing and not to the
12 percentage cost of equity. The pricing arrangement for energy from Muskrat Falls
13 does not have any adverse effect on consumers. As indicated in Exhibit 36, this
14 pricing arrangement provides rate payer benefits.

15

16 The internal rate of return for the Muskrat Falls investment, based on a power
17 purchase price of \$76 /MWh (\$2010, escalating at 2 percent per year) applied on
18 the Island's requirement for Muskrat Falls energy, is projected to be 8.4 percent.
19 This internal rate of return is calculated based on the equity returns over a fifty year
20 term. There is no financing of deferred equity payments, and payments are made
21 based on the funds available for equity returns.