## Reference from the Lieutenant-governor in Council On the Muskrat Falls Project (the "Muskrat Falls Review") REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

1	Revised					
2	CA/KPL-MHI-04	Consi	umer Question:	Re:	Conservation and Demand Management: II	า
3		Table	1.7, Section 1.9	9 (p. 3	34, vol. 2), MHI compares Nalcor with three	
4		other	Canadian utilitie	es. but	it the comparison does not include the	
5				·	and estimating CDM effects.	
				•	•	
6		(a)	which of the C	Janad	dian utilities you surveyed use Nalcor's	
7						
8		(b)	Why did MHI i	not an	nalyze or comment on Nalcor's use of this	
9			methodology?	•		
0						
1						
.2	Dated at St. John's	in the l	Province of New	vfound	dland and Labrador, this 17 <sup>th</sup> day of Februar	.,
4	2012.		10411100 01 1404	viouri	idiana ana Eastador, tilo 17 yay or 1 ostadir	,
5					K MM	
6						
.7						
8					Thomas Johnson	_
9					Consumer Advocate	
20					323 Duckworth Street	
21 22					St. John's, NL A1C 5X4	
23					Telephone: (709)726-3524	
24					Facsimile: (709)726-9600	
25					Email: tjohnson@odeaearle.ca	
26 27	clf:\oe\consumer advocate\musk	rat falls\rfi (	2ndmhi.rtf			