Reference from the Lieutenant-governor in Council On the Muskrat Falls Project (the "Muskrat Falls Review") REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

1	CA/KPL-Nalcor-269	Consumer Question: In the reply to CA/KPL-Nalcor-120, it states that for
2		purposes of its DG2 analysis, Muskrat Falls was assumed to be financed
3		with 100% equity. The reply goes on to state that with the successful
4		conclusion on negotiations with the Government of Canada, the "capital
5		structure (debt/equity) rates for the Muskrat Falls Facility will be
6		established so as to take advantage of the benefit from the loan
7		guarantee while maintaining acceptable debt service coverage for
8		lenders." Please fully explain how the CPU for the infeed option would
9		be impacted from the reference case assuming that a debt/equity ratio of
10		75:25 were used as is being assumed for the LIL.
11		
12	CA/KPL-Nalcor-270	Consumer Question: This relates to the relative cost (as compared to
13		the isolated island option or the muskrat falls option) of building a
14		transmission line through Labrador and into Newfoundland in such a way
15		that we could purchase the additional power needed from Quebec
16		Hydro. Such an option may prove viable without the cost of developing
17		Muskrat Falls. The transmission line could be used in the future should
18		development be a viable option. Would Nalcor please comment on this
19		option?
20		
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	Dated at St. John's in the Province of Newfoundland and Labrador, this 22 th day of February, 2012. Thomas Johnson Consumer Advocate 323 Duckworth Street St. John's, NL A1C 5X4 Telephone: (709)726-3524 Facsimile: (709)726-9600 Email: tjohnson@odeaearle.cacl	