

**Reference from the Lieutenant-governor in Council
On the Muskrat Falls Project
(the “Muskrat Falls Review”)
REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION**

1 CA/KPL-Nalcor-243 Consumer Question: In PUB-Nalco-46, on lines 16 and 17, Nalcor states
2 that it selected the escalating supply price model over the COS pricing
3 model because of stated advantages.

- 4 (a) Under what authority did Nalcor choose the pricing model and
5 how much scope was given to Nalcor to select the pricing model?
6 (b) Were alternate pricing models for the Isolated Island option
7 considered and, if so, what were they?
8 (c) Are there pricing models, such as those with on-peak/off peak
9 prices or increasing block rates, that would make the Isolated
10 Island option less costly?

11
12
13 CA/KPL-Nalcor-244 Consumer Question: In PUB-Nalcor-46, Nalcor indicates that under a
14 COS arrangement and to achieve an internal rate of return of 8.4%, the
15 price of Muskrat Falls power would be \$214 per MWh in year 1 and
16 decline each year thereafter.

- 17 (a) What would the starting price be if the Muskrat Falls project had to
18 achieve a 10% internal rate of return?
19 (b) If this price series was used for the PPA then what would the CPW of
20 the PPA be?

21
22 CA/KPL-Nalcor-245 Consumer Question: Nalcor plans to use a PPA for Muskrat Falls power
23 using an escalating supply price regime with a base price of \$76 per MWh
24 (in 2010\$) escalating at 2% annually.

- 25 (a) What would the base price change to if the internal rate of return on
26 Muskrat Falls were to be set at 10% rather than 8.4%?
27 (b) Using the resulting price series, what would the CPW for the PPA be?

28
29
30
31

1 Dated at St. John's in the Province of Newfoundland and Labrador, this 17th day of February,
2 2012.



Thomas Johnson
Consumer Advocate
323 Duckworth Street
St. John's, NL A1C 5X4
Telephone: (709)726-3524
Facsimile: (709)726-9600
Email: tjohnson@odeaearle.ca