

**Reference from the Lieutenant-governor in Council
On the Muskrat Falls Project
(the “Muskrat Falls Review”)
REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION**

1 CA/KPL-Nalcor-216 Consumer Question: Nalcor has indicated in PUB-Nalcor-158 that
2 CTs and CCCTs are more expensive on a per MWh basis than Holyrood.
3 Why then does Nalcor not include within its Isolated Island option a fourth
4 Holyrood turbine at Holyrood or at a greenfield site rather than the
5 170MW CCCT scheduled for 2022? Would placing such a turbine at a
6 greenfield site near the Come-by-Chance refinery or the Vale facility be
7 advantageous?
8

9 CA/KPL-Nalcor-217 Consumer Question: Has Nalcor chosen the site for its 2022 170MW
10 CCCT? Where is it?
11

12 CA/KPL-Nalcor-218 Consumer Question: Would a new Holyrood-type unit be more
13 energy-efficient and less-polluting than the ones currently operating at
14 Holyrood? By what orders of magnitude?
15

16 CA/KPL-Nalcor-219 Consumer Question: The Interconnected option involves some reliance
17 on the 300MW recall provision under the Churchill Falls contract. How
18 much annual energy does Nalcor anticipate using from that recall
19 capacity and does Nalcor’s estimated CPW include the foregone revenue
20 from export sales as a cost? What cost does Nalcor use in the CPW for
21 that recall power?
22

23 CA/KPL-Nalcor-220 Consumer Question: Regarding access to Churchill Falls power, has a
24 court date been set yet for CFLCo’s legal challenge in Quebec’s
25 provincial court to the 1969 contract? If so, what is that date?
26
27
28

1
2
3 Dated at St. John's in the Province of Newfoundland and Labrador, this 10th day of February,
4 2012.



Thomas Johnson
Consumer Advocate
323 Duckworth Street
St. John's, NL A1C 5X4
Telephone: (709)726-3524
Facsimile: (709)726-9600
Email: tjohnson@odeaearte.ca