Reference from the Lieutenant-governor in Council On the Muskrat Falls Project (the "Muskrat Falls Review") REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

1	CA/KPL-MHI-20	Cons	sumer Question: Would MHI review the table GRK-3, which is an	
2		expanded version of CA-KPP-27 Rev 1 presented by Mr. Philip Raphals		
3		on February 23, 2012 and comment on the following:		
4				
•		/a\	The account of the calculations contained in the new columns	
5		(a)	The accuracy of the calculations contained in the new columns	
6			inserted by Mr. Raphals;	
7		(b)	The response to be given by Nalcor prior to March 9, 2012, in	
8			assessing and confirming the accuracy of the table;	
9		(c)	The implications of the information contained in the new table and	
10			in the table from Nalcor, which Mr. Raphals was using in terms of	
11			the financing of the project, and its impact on ratepayers;	
12		(d)	The standards or benchmarks which should be applied where	
13		` ,	rates are not based on cost of service but are based instead on	
14			power purchase agreements; and	
15		(e)	The potential impact on the CPW comparisons, if any.	
16		(0)	The peterman impact on the Of W companionic, it any.	
17	Data d at Ct. Jahan	- ! 41	Durain a of North and Loud Laborator this Cath day of Calaurana	
18 19	2012.	s in the	Province of Newfoundland and Labrador, this 24th day of February,	
20	2012.		$\sim 10^{-1}$	
21			Steff	
22			A July of the second of the se	
23				
24			Thomas Johnson	
25			Consumer Advocate	
26			323 Duckworth Street	
27			St. John's, NL A1C 5X4	
28			Telephone: (709)726-3524	
29			Facsimile: (709)726-9600	
30			Email: tjohnson@odeaearle.ca	
31 32	clf:\oe\consumer advocate\mu	ıskrat falls\rf	i (2ndmhi.rtf	

clf:\oe\consumer advocate\muskrat falls\rfi (2ndmhi.rtf