## Reference from the Lieutenant-governor in Council On the Muskrat Falls Project (the "Muskrat Falls Review") REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

1	CA/KPL-Nalcor-194	Consumer Question: The Muskrat Falls generation unit relies upon the
2		huge water reservoirs above Churchill Falls and upon the regulation of
3		water by CFL(CO) which is governed by the terms of the 1969 power
4		contract with Hydro Quebec. The management of water on the Churchil
5		River is of vital importance, but not totally within the control of the
6		Government of Newfoundland and Labrador, notwithstanding the fact
7		that the facilities in place operate on the basis of water leases issued by
8		the Province. The PUB held a hearing on water management for the
9		Lower Churchill in 2009 and issued a board order. Nalcor Exhibit 17
10		contains the Churchill Falls Management Agreement dated 2009. CE
11		27Rev 1 (Public) states as follows:
12		
13		A water management agreement has been formalized between
14		CF(L)Co and the Lower Churchill facilities to ensure that all plants
15		produce in concert to maximize energy production from the river.
16		All the studies conducted contain some or all of the provisions of
17		the agreement. Studies MF1320 and MF1330 explicitly contain
18		the requirement to coordinate operations and share the regulating
19		benefits of the Upper Churchill storage system between plants
20		along the river, thus permitting the benefits of regulation to apply
21		to each facility during the calculation of firm energy. The Acres
22		1998 study implicitly coordinated production between plants, in
23		that all plants were operated to serve all loads; however, the
24		benefits of the regulation provided by the Upper Churchill
25		reservoirs were not explicitly numerated for the individual facilities.
26		
27		MHI-Nalcor 22 contains the following question and answer:
28		
29		With respect to MF1320, this report indicates firm generation of

1		515 MWc, not 824 MWc at Muskrat Falls. Why?
2		
3		The 515 MWc rating is the MW-continuous rating and is a means of
4		expressing the firm capability based on water availability. The 824 MW
5		value refers to the nameplate capacity rating of the plant, comprised of
6		four units at 206 MW each. (MF1320, as of the date of this response,
7		July 26, 2011, has not been disclosed to the public as it may contain
8		commercially sensitive or confidential information. Some or all of this
9		report may be released at a later date.)
10		
11		Was Hydro Quebec a party to the water management agreement?
12		
13	CA/KPL-Nalcor-195	Consumer Question: Further to the preamble outlined in
14		CA/KPL-Nalcor-194, to what extent does Hydro Quebec have control over
15		the flow of water from Churchill Falls by virtue of the terms of the 1969
16		contract?
17		
18	CA/KPL-Nalcor-196	Consumer Question: Further to the preamble outlined in
19		CA/KPL-Nalcor-194, how does the 2009 Churchill Falls Water
20		Management Agreement allow Nalcor Energy to optimize the flow of
21		water for Muskrat Falls?
22		
23	CA/KPL-Nalcor-197	Consumer Question: Further to the preamble outlined in
24		CA/KPL-Nalcor-194, what is the matching or congruence of seasonal
25		water flow from Churchill Falls compared with Muskrat Falls and how
26		does the seasonal load pattern of these operations complement each
27		other? Are the peak demands coincident or divergent?
28		
29	CA/KPL-Nalcor-198	Consumer Question: Further to the preamble outlined in
30		CA/KPL-Nalcor-194, how will the demands by Emera for Muskrat Falls
31		power be met and how well do they match with those of Hydro Quebec?
32		
33	CA/KPL-Nalcor-199	Consumer Question: Further to the preamble outlined in
34		CA/KPL-Nalcor-194, what is the purpose of the transmission line to be

1		built between Muskrat Falls and Churchill Falls?
2		
3	CA/KPL-Nalcor-200	Consumer Question: Further to the preamble outlined in
4		CA/KPL-Nalcor-194, will this transmission line require an agreement with
5		CFL(Co) With Hydro Quebec?
6		
7	CA/KPL-Nalcor-201	Consumer Question: Further to the preamble outlined in
8		CA/KPL-Nalcor-194, is there an agreement between Nalcor Energy and
9		Hydro Quebec to share power or water?
10		
11	CA/KPL-Nalcor-202	Consumer Question: Further to the preamble outlined in
12		CA/KPL-Nalcor-194, can Muskrat Falls generate additional energy
13		beyond the projected 4.5TWh, with improved water management and
14		with the direct engagement of Hydro Quebec?
15		
16	CA/KPL-Nalcor-203	Consumer Question: Further to the preamble outlined in
17		CA/KPL-Nalcor-194, what is the danger that Muskrat Falls will not be able
18		to deliver 4.5 TWh of firm energy?
19		
20	CA/KPL-Nalcor-204	·
21		CA/KPL-Nalcor-194, what other parties might be affected by potential
22		changes in the regulation of Churchill Falls water flow? Would the Iron
23		Ore Company of Canada be affected? Would other participants in Twinco
24		be affected?
25		
26	CA/KPL-Nalcor-205	Consumer Question: Further to the preamble outlined in
27		CA/KPL-Nalcor-194, what are the terms of the power agreement with the
28		Iron Ore Company of Canada? How often is their power contracts
29		renegotiated?
30		
31	CA/KPL-Nalcor-206	Consumer Question: Further to the preamble outlined in
32		CA/KPL-Nalcor-194, has Nalcor or the Government of Newfoundland and
33		Labrador attempted to engage Hydro Quebec in the optimization of water
34		flow, apart from the 2009 PUB process?

1		
2	CA/KPL-Nalcor-207	Consumer Question: Further to the preamble outlined in
3		CA/KPL-Nalcor-194, would a water management agreement with Hydro
4		Quebec which removed the restrictions upon water management
5		contained in the power contract allow the Lower Churchill to generate
6		additional power and energy? If so, what would be the effect on the
U		additional power and energy: It so, what would be the enection the
7		rated capacity of Muskrat Falls and Gull Island?
8		
9		
10	Dated at St. John's	in the Province of Newfoundland and Labrador, this 6th day of February,
11	2012.	,
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		Thomas Johnson
17		√c√ Consumer Advocate
18		323 Duckworth Street
19		St. John's, NL A1C 5X4
20		Telephone: (709)726-3524
21		Facsimile: (709)726-9600
22		Email: <u>tjohnson@odeaearle.ca</u>
23	clf:\oe\consumer advocate\musk	