Reference from the Lieutenant-governor in Council On the Muskrat Falls Project (the "Muskrat Falls Review") REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

1	CA/KPL-Nalcor-187	Consumer Question: See p 196, volume 2 p196, MHI states, "It is
2		noteworthy that Nalcor has incorporated a large investment programme in
3		the isolated island Option for reducing the environmental footprint of
4		Holyrood. The question arises as to whether or not this is necessary as
5		switching to 0.7 sulphur fuel has accomplished as much as is necessary
6		to meet Provincial environmental targets for SO". The cost of the
7		Holyrood environmental upgrade is approximately \$600m. The impact on
8		the CPW would be to reduce the CPW by \$400m from \$2.2b to \$1.8b if
9		this was not done.
10		(a) The in service date is 2015 per table 35. p 196 for the \$600m
11		Holyrood environmental upgrade. Why is the CPW only reduced by
12		\$400m for a \$600m 2015 expenditure?
13		(b) If this \$600m is not an environmental or a legislative requirement, why
14		is Nalcor including the \$600m as a cost in the isolated island option?
15		
16	CA/KPL-Nalcor-188	Consumer Question: See p. 197, vol. 2, MHI states that if the total
17		capital costs for the TL & for the MF site increase by 25% the CPW
18		would be reduced by \$577m. MF generating site has in service cost of
19		\$2.9 (no IDC because of 100% equity financing). What is the impact on
20		the CPW if the MF site has in service capital cost of \$3.5b?
21		
22	CA/KPL-Nalcor-189	Consumer Question: Re CA/KPL-102 re the new \$209m TL from Bay d'
23		Espoir to relieve capacity restraint that restrict power deliveries to the
24		Avalon. Nalcor states, "As planning for the new line have would had to
25		be initiated a number of years before 2010 in service at which time the
26		new the line was not needed". See MHI report, vol. 2, p. 102, Hatch
27		2008, Volumes 1 to 6HVdc integration study Hatch states that, "Many of
28		the issues observed are not necessarily due to the HVdc infeed but rather
29		due to the lack of transmission linking the generation in the west to the

1		load in the east". Was the TL needed in 2008 as stated in the 2008
2		Hatch report?
3		
4	CA/KPL-Nalcor-190	Consumer Question: How much of the existing island generating
5		capacity in the west in MWs can be used to fill this 275 MW capacity line?
6		
7	CA/KPL-Nalcor-191	Consumer Question: See MHI report p.99, vol. 2, HVdc Converter
8		Stations and Electrodes at MF there is a 900MW converter station. At
9		Soldiers Pond there is a 810MW converter station. Can Nalcor comment
10		on the 90MW difference? Is this due to TL losses?
11		
12	CA/KPL-Nalcor-192	Consumer Question: See MHI P206, HVdc System Losses, MHI states,
13		"Nalcor assumed HVdc systems losses at 5% however there is reason to
14		believe they could be higher than 10% is Nalcor's worst case". Can
15		Nalcor provide an analysis of the impact if the TL loss is 10%?
16		(a) on the CPW
17		(b) Can Nalcor provide comment on the impact on the PPA analysis in
18		Nalcor exhibit #36?
19		(c) What will the increase in cents per kwh on the PPA price to Hydro?
20		(d) In reply to PUB-Nalcor 46, Nalcor states that in year 1 on a cost of
21		service basis (COS) MF power cost would be would be \$214/MW.
22		What is COS power cost in year 1 in MWH if the TL losses are 10%?
23		(e) See p101 MHI p 101 " The MF Generating Station is rated @ 824
24		MW (515MW continuous rating and produces an average of
25		approximately 4.91 TWH annually". If the TL losses are 10%, does
26		that mean 4.91 TWH(less 10% TL losses .49twh) = 4.43 TWH is
27		effectively the amount of energy that can be delivered to Soldiers
28		Pond?
29		
30	CA/KPL-Nalcor-193	Consumer Question: See Revisions to Nalcor Submission of November
31		10, 2011, Table 29, p. 126 of 158, revision 1, now referred to as Table 1,
32		Summary of CPW Sensitivity Analysis with Respect to Reference Case
33		And Preference (present value 2010 \$ millions). The reference case
34		shows a CPW preference for the interconnected island of \$2,158 with the

1	rederal Loan Guarantee this is \$2,758, an increase of \$600m.
2	(a) Can Nalcor provide a schedule by year out to the end of 2067
3	showing the actual amount saved by year?
4	(b) What interest rate was used prior to the FED guarantee?
5	(c) What is the interest rate with the FED guarantee?
6	(d) What debt / equity ratio was used?
7	(e) What in service capital costs were used for the MF site &for the TL to
8	produce this \$600m saving?
9	(f) Was IDC included on the MF site to get the benefit of the FED
10	Guarantee?
11	
12 13 14 15 16 17	Dated at St. John's in the Province of Newfoundland and Labrador, this 6 th day of Februar 2012.
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Thomas Johnson Consumer Advocate 323 Duckworth Street St. John's, NL A1C 5X4 Telephone: (709)726-3524 Facsimile: (709)726-9600 Email: tjohnson@odeaearle.ca
-0	on to bound and one of manual another free of the