1	Q. Project C-94:	Q. Project C-94: Replace Battery Banks and Chargers - Various Sites				
2	Further to IC-NLH-41, Appendix "Replace Battery Banks and Chargers", Volume III,					
3	at page 4, ple	at page 4, please provide the following information in relation to Table 1:				
4	• Th	The proposed capital expenditure for each location;				
5	• Th	ne number of batteries at ea	ch location which have been tested a	nd		
6	fo	found to have a capacity of 80% or less of their rated capacity.				
7						
8						
9	A. The following	A. The following capital cost breakdown includes all estimated costs associated with				
10	the indicated site.					
11						
12		Snooks arm	\$ 35,091			
13		Black Tickle	\$ 33,212			
14		Charlottetown	\$ 14,277			
15		Hopedale	\$ 24,829			
16		Makkovik	\$ 17,537			
17		Paradise River (Lab)	\$ 56,057			
18		South Brook	\$ 36,760			
19		Marys Harbour	\$ 19,079			
20		Postville	\$ 21,569			
21		Williams Harbour	\$ 15,345			
22		South East Hill	\$ 18,117			
23		Hardwoods	\$ 107,022			
24		Buchans	\$ 92,081			
25		Western Avalon	\$ 50,156			
26		Plumb Point	\$ 55,621			

## Page 2 of 2

1	Bear Cove	\$ 50,485		
2	Cartwright	\$ 52,285		
3	Holyrood	\$ 182,077		
4				
5	The batteries in this proposal have not	The batteries in this proposal have not been capacity tested to determine if it has a		
6	capacity of 80 percent or less of its rat	capacity of 80 percent or less of its rated capacity. The batteries in question are		
7	being replaced upon the basis of norm	being replaced upon the basis of normal deterioration due to their age and not		
8	waiting for them to degrade below an acceptable level of performance before			
9	replacement. They have reached or exceeded their rated service life and are no			
10	longer considered reliable.			