

1 Q. **Project C-24: Rehabilitate Shoreline Protection – Cat Arm**

2 With reference to Section 3.2.4 - “Historical Information” set out at page 9 of the
3 Report at Tab 7, Volume II, if Hydro was aware of loss of material as early as 2008,
4 why did Hydro not take action to maintain and/or repair the existing embankment
5 to date?

6

7

8 A. Upon realizing the loss of material in 2008, Hydro engaged AMEC to conduct a field
9 investigation to assess the magnitude of the armour material loss and recommend a
10 mitigation strategy. Based on the assessment findings, AMEC noted that there was
11 no immediate threat to the stability of the roadway or the traffic using it. They
12 recommended continued monitoring of the embankment and the completion of
13 surveys to establish the quantity of lost embankment material and to determine as
14 to whether or not the erosion was progressive. Hydro acted in accordance with the
15 consultant’s recommendations.