
1 **Q. Volume III, Tab 27 – Replace Roof on Services Building, Bishop’s Falls**

2 In Appendix A, Tab 27 at p. A9, it is stated that replacing the roof is a “Priority 2”.

3 This project is given a rank of 47 by Hydro. Please explain why this projected

4 cannot be deferred.

5

6

7 **A. As outlined in Appendix A, Tab 27 at page A6, Coles and Associates assigned a**

8 “Priority 2” ranking to systems which require attention but present no immediate

9 risk to system integrity or life safety. The 2013 report suggests a timeframe of one

10 to three years to implement the recommendations, to allow for orderly planning

11 and financial resource development. Hydro has deferred its application for the

12 completion of the proposed roof replacement, to the outset limit recommended by

13 the consultant by way of its 2016 application.

14

15 Hydro performs its internal project ranking by considering the weighted ranking of

16 each project against four established criteria classes. These include: compliance,

17 financial, strategic, and reliability. Compliance and reliability account for more than

18 50% of the weighted ranking and, consequently, any project which does not pose

19 an immediate threat to personal safety, is not mandatory or load driven and does

20 not directly affect system reliability receives a lesser ranking than projects which do

21 satisfy this criteria. The rank of 47, assigned to the Replace Roof on Services

22 Building project, in no way diminishes the necessity to complete this work.