

---

1    Q.    **Project C-9: Rehabilitate Salmon River Spillway – Bay d’Espoir**

2            As Hydro maintains that the condition assessment study performed by Hatch in  
3            2008-2009 indicated that the Salmon River Spillway had a number of significant  
4            deficiencies that needed to be addressed, why has Hydro not addressed such  
5            deficiencies in the five (5) year since the Hatch Report was completed?

6

7

8    A.    In 2009, Hydro decided to address each site in the Hatch Report one at a time based  
9            on the overall health indices. This decision was based on achieving cost savings by  
10            concentrating on one site over a one to two year period (expected duration), as  
11            opposed to encountering multiple mobilization and demobilization costs for various  
12            sites each and every year of the program. In reality, each site requires more time to  
13            complete all of the work identified. As an example, Burnt Dam Spillway Structure is  
14            currently in Phase 4 (year five) of its rehabilitation program.

15

16            In 2013, Hydro decided to change its strategy due to the extended time required to  
17            complete the identified work at each site. The longer term recommendations for  
18            Victoria Control Structure are being deferred so that the focus in 2015 can be on  
19            short and medium term recommendations at Salmon River Spillway and the Bay  
20            d’Espoir Intakes.