

Newfoundland Power Inc.

55 Kenmount Road P.O. Box 8910 St. John's, NL A1B 3P6 Business: (709) 737-5600 Facsimile: (709) 737-2974 www.newfoundlandpower.com

HAND DELIVERED

October 8, 2014

Board of Commissioners of Public Utilities P.O. Box 21040 120 Torbay Road St. John's, NL A1A 5B2

Attention: G. Cheryl Blundon

Director of Corporate Services

and Board Secretary

Ladies & Gentlemen:

Re: Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro's 2015 Capital Budget Application – Brief of Argument

Enclosed are the original and 12 copies of Newfoundland Power's Brief of Argument.

For convenience, the Brief of Argument is provided on three-hole punched paper.

A copy of this letter, together with enclosure, has been forwarded directly to the parties listed below.

If you have any questions regarding the enclosed, please contact the undersigned at your convenience.

Yours very truly,

Gerard M. Hayes Senior Counsel

power of Oiles

Telephone: (709) 737-5609 Email: ghayes@newfoundlandpower.com Fax: (709) 737-2974

Board of Commissioners of Public Utilities October 8, 2014 Page 2 of 2

Enclosures

c. Geoffrey Young

Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro

Paul Coxworthy Stewart McKelvey

Dean Porter Poole Althouse Thomas Johnson

O'Dea Earle Law Offices

Thomas O'Reilly, QC

Vale Newfoundland and Labrador Limited

Danny Dumaresque

IN THE MATTER OF the *Public Utilities Act*, (the "Act"); and

IN THE MATTER OF an Application by Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro for an Order approving: (1) its 2015 capital budget pursuant to s.41(1) of the Act; (2) its 2015 capital purchases, and construction projects in excess of \$50,000 pursuant to s.41 (3) (a) of the Act; (3) its leases in excess of \$5,000 pursuant to s.41 (3) (b) of the Act; and (4) its estimated contributions in aid of construction for 2015 pursuant to s.41 (5) of the Act..

BRIEF OF ARGUMENT OF NEWFOUNDLAND POWER INC.

OCTOBER 8, 2014



CONTENTS

	I	Page
1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
2.0	LEGISLATIVE FRAMEWORK	1
3.0	2015 CAPITAL BUDGET	2
	3.2 Replace Accommodations and Septic System - Ebbegunbaeg	2

1.0 INTRODUCTION

- 2 Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro's ("Hydro") 2015 Capital Budget Application (the
- 3 "Application") was filed with the Board of Commissioners of Public Utilities (the "Board") on
- 4 August 1st 2014. Newfoundland Power filed a Notice of Intention to Participate in the hearing of
- 5 the Application on August 25th, 2014.

6

1

7 This is Newfoundland Power's submission with respect to the Application.

8

9

2.0 LEGISLATIVE FRAMEWORK

- Section 37(1) of the *Public Utilities Act* states that a public utility shall provide service and
- facilities that are reasonably safe and adequate and just and reasonable. Section 37(1) is a
- cornerstone of Hydro's and Newfoundland Power's obligation to serve their customers.

13

- 14 Section 3(b) of the *Electrical Power Control Act, 1994* states that all sources and facilities for the
- production, transmission, and distribution of power in the province should be managed and
- operated in a manner that would result in:
- 17 (i.) the most efficient production, transmission, and distribution of power,
- 18 (ii.) consumers in the province having equitable access to an adequate supply of
- 19 power, and
- 20 (iii.) power being delivered to customers in the province at the lowest possible cost
- 21 consistent with reliable service.

22

- 23 Section 3(b) does not create a hierarchy between these three principles; rather, each is equally
- important in the management and operation of electrical facilities in the province.

3.0 2015 CAPITAL BUDGET

- **2 3.1 General**
- 3 The principal question for the Board in its consideration of this proceeding is whether Hydro's
- 4 proposed capital expenditures in 2015 and 2016 are reasonably required for Hydro to meet its
- 5 statutory obligation to provide reasonably safe and adequate, least cost service to its customers,
- 6 including Newfoundland Power.

7

1

- 8 Newfoundland Power's submission on Hydro's proposed 2015 capital expenditures focuses on
- 9 Hydro's proposed 2015 and 2016 capital expenditures of \$1,550,800 to replace the
- 10 accommodations facility and septic system at its Ebbegunbaeg control structure.

11

12

- 3.2 Replace Accommodations and Septic System Ebbegunbaeg
- 13 Background
- 14 The Replace Accommodations and Septic System Ebbegunbaeg project included in Hydro's
- 2015 Capital Budget proposes expenditures in 2015 and 2016 totalling an estimated \$1,550,800
- 16 to replace worker accommodations and the associated septic system at Hydro's Ebbegunbaeg
- 17 control structure.
- 18 Reference: Volume I, Section C, page C-48.

19

- 20 The existing worker accommodations at the Ebbegunbaeg site consist of two permanently
- 21 installed mobile units providing a total of six bedrooms, three washrooms, a kitchen, a dining
- area and a living room.
- 23 Reference: Volume II, Tab 20, page 1.

1 Hydro states that the condition of the existing facility has been deemed unsatisfactory as a result 2 of concerns regarding the deteriorating building structure, mould growth and damage to the 3 interior plumbing system which has left the facility without water. As a result, employees no 4 longer stay at the facility, but are transported to the site daily via helicopter. The replacement of 5 the existing accommodations and septic system is proposed in order to provide accommodation 6 facilities which, according to Hydro, meet the expected level of employee safety and comfort. 7 Reference: Volume II, Tab 20, page i; pages 1-4. 8 9 Hydro proposes to replace the existing accommodations with a new six person, double module 10 accommodations complex. The proposed accommodations complex will contain a 11 kitchen/dining area, a common washroom, laundry facilities and a common/recreational area. 12 Each of the six bedrooms in the proposed facility will have a dedicated washroom. In total, the 13 facility will contain seven separate washrooms. 14 Reference: Volume II, Tab 20, page 2. Response to Request for Information NP-NLH-040. 15 16 17 Hydro states that six bedrooms are required to house enough workers to perform typical annual 18 maintenance activities at the Ebbegunbaeg site. 19 Reference: Response to Request for Information CA-NLH-066. 20 21 Hydro states that the existing six bedroom, three washroom facility does not meet current 22 industry standards for camp facilities. Hydro states that modern day standards for such facilities 23 provide adequate levels of comfort and privacy through the allocation of single room occupancy, 24 with individual washroom facilities, the availability of separate male and female

accommodations and the provision of modern communication systems, including internet access.

1

2 Reference: Volume I, Section C, page C-49; Volume II, Tab 20, page 6. 3 4 According to Hydro's report filed in support of the project, there is no established standard for 5 onsite accommodation facilities. The only written Canadian standards are the Alberta and 6 British Columbia provincial standards, which require that workers be provided with "a single 7 room of not less than eighty square feet". Hydro did not prepare a cost estimate for 8 accommodations containing fewer rooms with double occupancy. 9 Reference: Volume II, Tab 20, page 6; Response to Requests for Information CA-NLH-066 10 and IC-NLH-043. 11 12 Hydro states that its Cat Arm accommodations complex, which provides single room occupancy 13 with individual washrooms, was developed to meet the construction norm of modern day camp 14 facilities. In addition, Hydro states that modern day facilities in Muskrat Falls, Bull Arm and the 15 majority of construction camps in British Columbia and Alberta include single room occupancy 16 with dedicated washroom facilities. 17 Reference: Response to Request for Information NP-NLH-044. 18 19 Hydro is unable to provide details regarding the number of staff and the times of overnight visits 20 to the Ebbegunbaeg site for the five years prior to the use of the existing accommodation facility 21 being discontinued in 2013. Hydro does not maintain data relating to the occupancy of its 22 remote site accommodations. 23 Reference: Response to Request for Information CA-NLH-065.

1 Hydro is unable to provide details regarding the number of days employees have been 2 transported to or from the Ebbegunbaeg site via helicopter in 2013 and 2014 because helicopter 3 requests often do not contain specific information regarding all locations visited. 4 Reference: Response to Request for Information IC-NLH-041. 5 6 Hydro states that the typical duration of stay at the Ebbegunbaeg site depends on the nature of 7 the work. Maintenance crews typically stay at the Ebbegunbaeg site to perform planned 8 maintenance two to four times a year, with a typical stay of between four and eight days. To 9 perform unplanned/emergency maintenance, crews typically visit the site between one and four 10 times a year, with duration of stay ranging between a few hours and four days. 11 Reference: Response to Request for Information PUB-NLH-027. 12 13 Submission 14 Based on the limited information provided by Hydro with respect to historic usage of the existing 15 Ebbegunbaeg accommodation facility, the requirement for worker accommodations at 16 Ebbegunbaeg is occasional and intermittent. This contrasts with worker accommodations at 17 construction sites such as Bull Arm and Muskrat Falls, and in Alberta and British Columbia, 18 which tend to be occupied on a continuous basis throughout the duration of a construction 19 project. 20 21 The evidence presented in support of this project outlines typical standards for worker 22 accommodations that are occupied on a continuous basis. Hydro has not addressed the issue of 23 whether generally accepted standards exist for intermittently used worker accommodations such 24 as appear to be required at the Ebbegunbaeg site. At most, the evidence provided in support of

the project establishes only that the existing accommodations have reached the end of their 1 useful service life. 2 3 It is Newfoundland Power's submission that Hydro has not shown that it has considered all 4 5 reasonable alternatives for providing worker accommodation at the Ebbegunbaeg site. It has 6 therefore not been established that the proposed expenditures are reasonable in the 7 circumstances. 8 9 In Newfoundland Power's submission, the proposal presented with the Application is not consistent with the least cost provision of service to Hydro's customers and should not be 10 11 approved. 12 13 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED at St. John's, Newfoundland and Labrador, this 8th day of 14 October, 2014. 15 16 17 NEWFOUNDLAND POWER INC. 18 P.O. Box 8910 19 20 55 Kenmount Road 21 St. John's, Newfoundland A1B 3P6 22 23 Telephone: (709) 737-5859 24 Telecopier: 25 (709) 737-2974