

1 Q. Provide the analysis that Hydro has undertaken in making its determination as to  
2 why the capacity payment of \$28 per kW per winter and the variable credit of 20  
3 cents per kW per hour for the maximum capacity assistance requested and  
4 provided is considered reasonable and economic by Hydro.

5

6

7 A. The analysis with respect to the reasonableness of the \$28 per kW capacity credit is  
8 provided on pages 5 and 6 of the evidence to the Application. The marginal capacity  
9 costs establish the upper limits Hydro would consider in negotiating capacity  
10 assistance arrangements. The evidence indicates that Hydro's marginal cost of  
11 capacity averages \$71.67 over 2015-2017. The marginal cost of capacity was  
12 determined based upon a probabilistic analysis of the likelihood of a capacity  
13 shortage. The proposed capacity assistance agreement reduces the probability of a  
14 future shortfall at a cost that is materially below the system marginal cost of  
15 capacity. The proposed capacity assistance agreement makes economic sense for  
16 both customers and Hydro.

17

18 The 20 cents per kW per hour payments provide Hydro with coincidental fuel  
19 savings as Hydro will be requesting capacity assistance be implemented prior to  
20 starting its last operational combustion turbine. Therefore, Hydro will be avoiding  
21 fuel costs which would otherwise be incurred by running its combustion turbine.  
22 The variable credit reflects approximately one-half the cost of operating Hydro's  
23 higher cost standby units.