

1 Q. (Vale October 27, 2014 letter to Board entitled *Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro*
2 *– Application for Approval of the Rate to be charged to Newfoundland Power*) Vale
3 lists a number of concerns with the application relating to the treatment of NP
4 curtailable load. On page 7 Vale states: 1) “NP curtailable power should not be
5 treated in the same manner as the NP generation credit” (lines 5 to 6), 2) “Vale
6 submits that IC capacity assistance should be treated in the same manner as NP
7 generation credit” (lines 8 to 9), and 3) “all customers (NP and IC) curtailable power
8 should be treated the same” (lines 9 to 10). Now that Hydro has followed up its
9 submission on NP curtailable load with this submission relating to capacity
10 assistance from CBPP, how does Hydro respond to each of these statements?
11
12

13 A. Hydro’s proposed cost of service treatment of NP’s curtailable load and the
14 proposed capacity assistance agreement for CBPP are both reflected in Hydro’s
15 Amended GRA filing. This matter will be dealt with in the GRA and is not relevant to
16 the current Application which proposes the implementation of the proposed
17 capacity assistance agreement for the 2014/2015 winter season.