

1 Q. (Re: October 2014 Evidence submitted as part of Application) On page 3 lines 11 to
2 12 it is stated “*The capacity assistance arrangement with CBPP during the 2013/14*
3 *winter season provided a lower fixed payment and a high variable payment*”. Please
4 provide a comparison of the capacity and energy payments to CBPP under the
5 capacity arrangement for last winter and the capacity arrangement proposed for
6 the coming winter to the annualized fixed cost of the 120 MW combustion turbine
7 under construction at Holyrood and the marginal production cost of: 1) Holyrood
8 TGS, and 2) the new 120 MW combustion turbine under construction at Holyrood.

9
10

11 A. Please see the table below that provides the requested comparisons.

12 Please note:

13 (1) The Holyrood Thermal Generating Station is primarily a base load source. The
14 CBPP contracts and the new CT are for peaking. As they are used for different
15 purposes, care should be taken in a direct comparison on a cost per kilowatt hour
16 basis.

17 (2) The energy costs (\$/kWh) for the new Holyrood CT and the Holyrood TGS
18 reflect fuel costs.

19

20

Comparison of Costs

SOURCE	\$/kW	\$/kWh
CBPP 2013/2014 Contract	\$2	\$0.73
CBPP 2014/2015 Contract	\$28	\$0.20
New Holyrood CT	\$110	\$0.31
Holyrood TGS (Dec-13 to Mar-14)	-	\$0.18
Holyrood TGS (Dec-14 to Mar-15)	-	\$0.16