Requests for Information

NP	CA	05
----	----	----

Q.	Reference: Page 48, Lines 16-17 and Page 49, Lines 1-4
	"The BCUC's 2009 decision is a bit of an outlier. For their direct risk premium estimate they stated (Decision, Dec 16, 2009 page 60)
	The Commission Panel establishes a CAPM estimate by using the Consensus estimate of 4.30 percent for the risk free rate, establishing an equity market premium in the range of the consensus estimate of Canadian professors of finance of 5 percent to 6 percent, and using an adjusted beta in the range of 0.60 to 0.66. This produces a "bare-bones" CAPM estimate in the range of 7.30 percent to 8.30 percent before an allowance for financing flexibility. This produces a "bare-bones" CAPM estimate in the range of 7.30 percent to 8.30 percent before an allowance for financing flexibility.
	To all intents and purposes this is very similar to that of the AUC, Regie, and the Board of Commissioners of Newfoundland and Labrador except for the relatively high risk assessment (beta) placed on Terasen Gas Inc (TGI) of 0.60-0.66."
	Please confirm that in arriving at its 9.5% ROE for TGI it gave "most weight to the DCF approach, lesser weight to the ERP and CAPM approaches and a very small amount of weight to the CE approach."
A.	Correct, as Dr. Booth remarked that decision of the BCUC was "a bit of an outlier."