

1 **Q. [Accounts 355.1 and 355.2] – As it relates to certain statements made in Mr.**  
2 **Wiedmayer’s rebuttal testimony on pages 19 through 23 or in Appendix B pages 2**  
3 **through 6, as it applies to Accounts 355.1 and 355.2 – Transmission Poles and**  
4 **Fixtures, please provide the specific identification of the “significant data points”**  
5 **that Mr. Pous must have ignored that provided important information about the**  
6 **dispersion pattern for transmission poles, as referenced on page 2 of Appendix B.**  
7

8 A. The graph shown on page 26 of Mr. Pous’s testimony omits the data points for age 47.5  
9 and 48.5, which are considered significant. Mr. Pous also states on the same page that  
10 after age 34 his “recommendation again becomes a superior fit” when compared to the  
11 47-R2 survivor curve. Given that Mr. Pous’s own graph shows that for ages 43.5 through  
12 46.5, the 51-S0.5 estimate is further from the original curve than the 47-R2 curve, Mr.  
13 Pous must ignore these data points in order to make such a statement. Thus, Mr. Pous  
14 has ignored the data points from age 43.5 through 48.5, which are considered significant.  
15 Importantly, these points show that the low mode S0.5 survivor curve is an inferior fit to  
16 a mid-mode R2 survivor curve.