CHARLES R. MATTHEWS, CHIDRIAN
BARRY WILLIAMSON, COMPASSIONER
CAROLE KEETON RYLANDER, COMPASSIONER





December I, 1997

ALL PARTIES OF RECORD

Re: Gas Utilities Docket No. 8664

Statement of Intent Filed by Lone Star Gas Company and Lone Star Pipeline Company, Divisions of Enserch Corporation, and ENSAT Pipeline Company to Increase the Intracompany City Gate Rate Established in GUD 3543.

Please find attached a copy of the signed Second Order on Rehearing, Nunc Pro Tunc, signed in Open Conference on Tuesday, November 25, 1997, in the above-referenced docket.

Very truly yours,

James Z. Brazell

Hearings Examiner
Gas Services Section

Office of General Counsel

Karen J. Cox

Hearings Examiner

Gas Services Section

Office of General Counsel

JZB/clg

g:\...\wp\jzb\ltr\8664ord.ltr

RAILROAD COMMISSION OF TEXAS OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL

GAS UTILITIES DOCKET NO. 8664

STATEMENT OF INTENT OF LONE STAR GAS COMPANY AND LONE STAR PIPELINE COMPANY, DIVISIONS OF ENSERCH CORPORATION, AND ENSAT PIPELINE COMPANY TO INCREASE THE INTRACOMPANY CITY GATE RATE

SECOND ORDER ON REHEARING NUNC PRO TUNC

Notice of Open Meeting to consider this order was duly posted with the Secretary of State within the time period provided by law pursuant to Tex. Gov't Code Ann. §551, et seq.

Between August 29 and September 4, 1997, the parties filed motions for rehearing of the Commission's August 18, 1997, Order on Rehearing in this docket. Between September 12 and 15, 1997, the parties filed responses to motions for rehearing of the other parties. On September 23, 1997, the Commission extended the time for ruling on motions for rehearing until November 13, 1997. The Commission has considered the motions for rehearing, the responses, and argument of the parties, the recommendations of Staff, the recommendations of the examiners, and the entire record.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED BY THE RAILROAD COMMISSION OF TEXAS that the parties' motions for rehearing are hereby GRANTED IN PART and DENIED IN PART, as more particularly described in the following sections and in the attached findings of fact, conclusions of law, ordering paragraphs, and schedules:

I. Issues Upon Which Rehearing is Granted

IT IS ORDERED BY THE RAILROAD COMMISSION OF TEXAS that rehearing is GRANTED on the following specific issues included in the parties' motions for rehearing:

A. Motion for Rehearing of Lone Star Gas Company, et al.

Point of Error Specific Correction, Chan No. Issue/Item	ge, or Revision
Finding of Fact Nos 17 and 18 Change language of Finding "Total allocated plant in service Storage is \$149,191,618." Change language of Finding "Total allocated plant in service \$45,005,317."	vice for LSP-Excluding g of Fact No. 18 to read:

7	Finding of Fact Nos. 22 and 23	Delete the text of Finding of Fact Nos. 22 and 23.
8	Finding of Fact No. 116,	Removing the word "advertising" from Finding of Fact No. 116.
12	Finding of Fact No. 138, R-8	Add term "affiliates" to the list of entities that now contains the terms "divisions" and "third parties" in R-8 in Finding of Fact No. 138.
		Include language "same class of purchased gas" in R-8 applies to one item, namely purchased gas in R-8 in Finding of Fact No. 138.
12	Ordering Paragraph No. 6, R-8	Add term "affiliates" to the list of entities that now contains the terms "divisions" and "third parties" in R-8 in Ordering Paragraph No. 6.
		Include language "same class of purchased gas" in R-8 applies to one item, namely purchased gas in R-8 in Ordering Paragraph No. 6.
14	Finding of Fact No. 5	Correct Finding of Fact No. 5 to reflect that only two unincorporated divisions of Enserch Corporation, Lone Star Pipeline Company and Lone Star Gas Company - Transmission, were the subject of this proceeding.
14	Finding of Fact No. 127	Include language to the effect that Lone Star's gas cost varies substantially from month to month.

II. Issues Upon Which Rehearing is Denied

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that rehearing of all other issues, including all points of error, specific or general issues, requests for relief, or any other matters raised, proposed, or requested in the parties' motions for rehearing and not specifically listed, described, and approved for rehearing in the preceding section, is **DENIED**.

III. Revised Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law Ordering Paragraphs, and Schedules

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the revised findings of fact, conclusions of law,

ordering paragraphs, and schedules showing detail of the Commission-approved amounts for investments, revenues, and expenses attached to this Second Order on Rehearing are hereby APPROVED and incorporated herein for all purposes.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law not specifically adopted herein are DENIED.

SIGNED this 25th day of November 1997.

RAILROAD COMMISSION OF TEXAS glerder

Carole Keeton Rylander

I disagree with the use of Lone Star's proposed service lives and salvage values and would have adopted the recommendation in the Proposal for Decision on these issues.

I disagree with the treatment of gain on the sale of the Oklahoma property.

Charles R. Matthews

g:\data\gulp\wp\clg\rehearng\nunc-ord.n25

ATTACHMENT A

FINDINGS OF FACT (As Revised on Rehearing)

- 1. On October 25, 1996, Lone Star Gas Company and Lone Star Pipeline Company, Divisions of Enserch Corporation, and ENSAT Pipeline Company (Lone Star or the Company) filed with the Railroad Commission of Texas (Commission) a statement of intent to increase the intracompany city gate rate. Lone Star's original effective date was November 29, 1996.
- 2. Lone Star Gas Company-Transmission (LSG-T) is the operating unit of Lone Star Gas Company that purchases gas supplies for customers served by Lone Star Gas Company-Distribution (LSG-D), the operating unit of Lone Star Gas Company that distributes gas downstream of the city gates, primarily within cities. Lone Star Pipeline Company (LSP) transports the gas purchased by LSG-T to the city gates of the approximately 550 communities served by LSG-D, and also stores gas purchased by LSG-T for future delivery to LSG-D's city gates.
- 3. On November 12, 1996, the Commission suspended the rates for 150 days from the date on which the rates would otherwise go into effect.
- 4. On January 22, 1997, Lone Star extended its effective date for one week, from November 29, 1996, to December 6, 1996. During the hearing, Lone Star extended its effective date to December 21, 1996; consequently, the last day for the Commission to act is May 20, 1997.
- 5. Two unincorporated divisions of Enserch are involved in this rate case; (1) Lone Star Pipeline, including LSP-Excluding Storage and LSP-Storage; and (2) Lone Star Gas Transmission.
- 6. One incorporated subsidiary of Enserch, ENSAT Pipeline, was a party to the hearing. That entity's revenues and expenses were included in the revenues and expenses of LSP Excluding Storage.
- 7. On October 25, 1996, Lone Star mailed by certified mail, return receipt requested, notice of the Statement of Intent to each incorporated city affected by the filing.
- 8. From October 29, 1996, through January 5, 1997, Lone Star published notice once each week for four consecutive weeks in a newspaper of general circulation in each county containing territory affected by the proposed increase.
 - 9. Lone Star used a test year from July 1, 1995 through June 30, 1996.

- 10. Lone Star's system of accounts is consistent with the NARUC system of accounts. Lone Star has different account numbers for 25 of its accounts, seven percent of the total. The difference between systems of accounts did not unduly prejudice the parties in this hearing. Therefore, Lone Star's account entries are probative.
 - 11. DELETED
 - 12. DELETED
 - 13. DELETED
- 14. Denying the Aligned Cities' request to order Lone Star to provide quarterly tracking reports on the merger savings in GUD No. 8647 is reasonable because any merger related savings will be analyzed when the Company returns to file a city gate rate case three years after the effective date of the merger.
- 15. Approval of Aligned Cities' proposal that Lone Star be required to file a study analyzing the potential for pipeline open access in GUD No. 8647 is reasonable.
- 16. Ordering Lone Star to file a statement of intent three years after the effective date of the merger for consideration of the changes in the cost of service established in this case is reasonable because that will allow Lone Star to prepare a statement of intent using a full test year of post-merger data.
 - 17. Total allocated plant in service for LSP-Excluding Storage is \$149,191,618.
 - 18. Total allocated plant in service for LSP-Storage is \$45,005,317.
- 19. Adjustments of (\$376,000) to the original cost of LSP-Storage stated in Finding of Fact No. 18 and of \$253,000 to the accumulated depreciation of LSP-Storage stated in Finding of Fact No. 23 for a net adjustment to LSP-Storage plant in service of (\$102,000) shown on Lines 1 and 3 of Schedule I-B are reasonable to remove the investment in the Leeray storage facility. The adjustment to the original cost is the sum of (\$355,000) adjustment for the storage facility and (\$21,000) for the cushion gas.
- 20. The Company is closing this facility and removing all the gas that can be removed from it. It will no longer be used and useful in providing utility service to city gate customers during the rate year.
 - 21. An adjustment to the requested amount of completed construction not closed of

(\$86,754), based on the disallowance from rate base of the value of investment in this category which is now producing revenue, is reasonable.

- 22. DELETED
- 23. DELETED
- 24. It is reasonable not to adjust rate base for LSP-Excluding Storage to account for gains on the sale of plant because no evidence was presented to support such an adjustment.
- 25. It is not reasonable to apply an allocation factor of 69 percent that was designed to recognize city gate class retention, because there was no evidence introduced to compare the accumulated depreciation city gate customers could claim with and without the retention of industrial and electric generation customers on the system.
- 26. A calculation of accumulated depreciation using the same overall allocation factor used to allocate original cost is reasonable.
- 27. Allowing \$80,395 CWIP for LSP-Excluding Storage, before allocation to the city gate, and \$32,717 after allocation to the city gate, is reasonable.
- 28. Allowing \$10,386 for CWIP for LSP-Storage before allocation to the city gate and \$9,891 after allocation to the city gate is reasonable.
- 29. An adjustment of (\$255,484) to the Company's requested CWIP for LSP-Excluding Storage, before allocation to the city gate, is reasonable because this is the amount of CWIP investment completed subsequent to the test year but prior to the order that is currently being used to provide city gate service.
- 30. An adjustment of (\$33,004) to the Company's requested CWIP for LSP-Storage, before allocation to the city gate, is reasonable this is the amount of CWIP investment completed subsequent to the test year but prior to the order that is currently being used to provide city gate service.
- 31. RWIP for LSP-Excluding Storage of (\$156,822) before allocation to the city gate and (\$63,819) after allocation is reasonable.
- 32. RWIP for LSP-Storage of (\$20,259) before allocation to the city gate and (\$19,293) after allocation is reasonable.

- 33. Cash working capital of zero for LSP-Excluding Storage is reasonable.
- 34. Cash working capital of zero for LSP-Storage is reasonable.
- 35. Cash working capital of zero for LSG-T is reasonable.
- 36. In the absence of a reliable lead-lag study which the Company had the ability and wherewithal to perform (particularly since the divisions of the Company participating in the rate case each have only one customer), it is reasonable to deny the Company's requested cash working capital component of rate base for LSP-Excluding Storage, LSP-Storage, and LSG-T which was derived using the one-eighth rule.
- 37. Lone Star disclosed its actual procedure for paying its bills for the first time in filed rebuttal testimony, despite having been asked to do so by the Aligned Cities during discovery. Therefore, admonishing Lone Star for failing to disclose its actual settlement practices in a timely manner is appropriate.
 - 38. DELETED
 - 39. DELETED
 - 40. DELETED
- 41. Inventory of \$579,662 and prepayments of \$342,081 for LSP-Excluding Storage, after allocation, are reasonable.
- 42. Inventory of \$175,239 and prepayments of \$103,415 for LSP-Storage, after allocation, are reasonable.
- 43. Unrestored ITC's of (\$3,712,752) for LSP-Excluding Storage and (\$1,122,413) for LSP-Storage allocated to the city gate are reasonable.
- 44. ADFIT of (\$17,808,651) for LSP-Excluding Storage after allocation to the city gate is reasonable.
- 45. ADFIT of (\$5,383,786) for LSP-Storage after allocation to the city gate is reasonable.
 - 46. ADFIT of \$4,182,965 for LSG-T after allocation to the city gate is reasonable.

- 73. A (2,322,553) Mcf volume adjustment and a (\$8,951,283) revenue adjustment for weather normalization for LSG-T, before allocation, are reasonable.
- 74. A (1,215,265) Mcf volume adjustment and a \$622,773 revenue adjustment for revenues due to customer growth for LSP-Excluding Storage, before allocation, are reasonable.
- 75. A (1,215,265) Mcf volume adjustment and a \$4,686,209 as a revenue adjustment to revenues for customer growth for LSG-T, before allocation, are reasonable.
- 76. A (595,436) Mcf volume adjustment and a (\$1,204,699) revenue adjustment for LSP-Excluding Storage to reflect the transfer of gathering facilities, before allocation, are reasonable.
- 77. A (\$3,478,157) adjustment before allocation to revenue for the restructuring of the affiliate transaction is reasonable. This adjustment is required to account for changes to the test year revenue figures that will be in place during the rate year. Severing into GUD No. 8647 any consideration of refunds of test year overcharges caused by the affiliate transaction is reasonable.
- 78. A \$3,159,316 adjustment before allocation for LSP-Excluding Storage to other revenue to account for revenues from rent of gas property and other gas revenue and to exclude revenues due to the transfer of facilities to EPI is reasonable.
- 79. Approving Aligned Cities' proposed standby revenue credit to LSP-Storage of (\$1,183,238), after allocation, is reasonable. This adjustment includes revenue the Company receives from its non-city gate storage customers. The costs to the Company of providing this service affect the costs borne by the city gate customers; therefore, it is reasonable to reduce LSP-Storage cost of service to account for them.
- 80. An adjustment of (\$7,731,248) to LSG-T before allocation to recognize revenue differences due to changes in the Company's gas cost adjustment mechanism is reasonable.

81. DELETED

- 82. A (\$7,040,764) adjustment to LSP-Excluding Storage expenses before allocation to reflect the transfer of gathering facilities to Enserch Processing, Inc. is reasonable.
- 83. Denying the Company's requested inflation adjustment to O&M expenses for LSP-Excluding Storage is reasonable. Revenue attrition due to inflation is one of the few incentives for regulated monopolies to cut costs. Allowing adjustments for inflation in regulated utilities

rate filings eliminates one of the few market forces to which such utilities are subject. The requested inflation adjustments should also be denied because the rate of inflation during the rate year cannot be known or measurable.

- 84. An adjustment to LSP-Excluding Storage O&M expenses of (\$1,681,993) before allocation is reasonable to exclude the Company's requested inflation adjustment.
- 85. An adjustment to LSP-Storage O&M expenses of (\$127,325) before allocation is reasonable to exclude the Company's requested inflation adjustment.
- 86. An adjustment to LSG-T O&M expenses of (\$91,804) before allocation to exclude the Company's requested inflation adjustment.
- 87. It is reasonable include a special labor adjustment of only the amount actually awarded as salaries during the test year to specific employees. A generally-stated increase to labor expenses, without evidence indicating which employees' salaries will be increase by what amounts, is not a reasonable adjustment to labor expenses.
- 88. A (\$530,467) adjustment before allocation to the Company's requested special labor adjustment for LSP-Excluding Storage is reasonable.
- 89. Total depreciation expense for LSP-Excluding Storage of \$8,441,771 after allocation and total depreciation expense for LSP-Storage of \$1,858,612 after allocation are reasonable.
 - DELETED
 - 91. DELETED
- 92. Because it provides a more accurate estimate of the actual consumption of property, the ELG depreciation procedure requested by Lone Star is reasonable.
 - 93. The service lives and salvage values proposed by Lone Star are reasonable.
- 94. Reimbursed retirements should be treated according to the procedure prescribed in the NARUC System of Accounts.
 - 95. DELETED
 - 96. The number of employees, level of overtime expenses, and severance payments

must be annualized and normalized to eliminate anomalous, unusual, or extraordinary events during the test year.

- 97. Because the Company capitalizes a portion of its labor expense, it is reasonable to apply a capitalization factor of 19.32 percent to the labor expenses to produce the adjustments.
- 98. The annualization and normalization adjustment for LSP-Excluding Storage labor expenses is (\$1,433,957) before allocation to the city gate.
- 99. The annualization and normalization adjustment LSP Storage is (\$86,466) for before allocation to the city gate.
- 100. The normalization and annualization adjustment to labor expenses for LSG-T is (\$112,165) before allocation to the city gate.
- 101. The number of employees of the Company declined from 663 at the end of the test year to 650 on November 1, 1996.
- 102. The Company's overtime factor fluctuated between 3.67 and 5.93 percent during the period of time from 1994 to the end of the test year.
 - 103. The average overtime factor for the last thirty months is 5.079 percent.
- 104. The Company had severance expenses of \$70,274 for 1993, \$0 for 1994, \$629,110 for 1995, and \$116,193 for the first six months of 1996. \$367,934 of the 1995 severance expenses were included in the test year.
- 105. The number of support employees declined from 636 at the beginning of the test year to 525 at the end of the test year.
 - 106. An expense capitalization factor of 19.32 percent is reasonable.
- 107. It is reasonable to require the Company to externally fund other post employment benefits (OPEB) expenses.
- 108. Requiring the Company to place amounts recovered through rates for OPEBs in an irrevocable external trust fund is reasonable to ensure that:
 - a. the Company does not over-recover ratepayer supplied capital collected;
 - b. the funds will be available to pay benefits when required;

- c. the costs of administering the program are kept low and the funds collected from ratepayers are kept separately so that they can be returned to ratepayers if the fund is ended or if the Commission orders the funds returned.
- 109. It is reasonable to impose the following requirements on the Company concerning the administration of the fund:
 - a. the fund shall be established within six months of the date of the order;
 - b. the fund shall be dedicated strictly to the payment of OPEB expenses;
 - c. the fund shall receive deposits monthly and the plan should, to the extent permissible by federal tax laws, provide for the deduction of contributions and allow earnings to accumulate free from taxes.
- 110. Approving the Company's requested conversion from pay-as-you-go accounting to accrual accounting under Financial Accounting Standards No. 106 is reasonable. Accrual accounting provides a more appropriate matching between incurring of costs, recovery of revenue, and the provision of service by employees.
- 111. Allowing the Company to recover the transition obligation for LSP-Excluding Storage, LSP-Storage, and LSG-T over time is reasonable because this procedure:
 - a. recognizes that the accounting change was imposed on the Company by the Financial Accounting Standards and the Company had no notice that pay-as-you-go would be insufficient after the change;
 - b. insures the financial integrity of the Company is not diminished by the change in accounting practice;
 - c. gives due consideration to the change caused by FAS 106;
 - d. spreads the impact of the change over an extended period, reducing the effect on ratepayers.

112. DELETED

- 113. Denying Aligned Cities' proposed 1.5 percent and (\$6,039) medical cost trend adjustment is reasonable because there was no evidence to support a conclusion that the Company's medical cost trends assessment was incorrect.
- 114. Adopting the Aligned Cities proposed reduction of \$0.32 per dollar reduced from payroll for LSP-Excluding Storage, LSP-Storage, and LSG-T, to account for a decrease in employees since the end of the test year is reasonable.
- 115. Approving payroll expense for LSP-Excluding Storage, LSP-Storage, and LSG-T in the amounts detailed in the attached schedules is reasonable.
- 116. A (\$743,660) adjustment before allocation and (\$302,632) after allocation for LSP-Excluding Storage is necessary to remove customer accounting expenses and sales expenses that are not associated with city gate customers.
- 117. An adjustment of (\$178,703) to Account No. 93003, Industry Association Dues, is necessary in order to exclude dues that are used in part to support lobbying activities.

118. DELETED

119. DELETED

120. It is necessary to make the (\$743,660) adjustment before allocation to the city gate stated in Finding of Fact No. 116 to remove costs of the Company's Marketing Group, which works exclusively with the Company's distribution system industrial customers, from the expenses to be recovered from the city gate residential and commercial customers. The Marketing Group does not provide services useful to the city gate, and therefore its exclusion from the cost of service on which return is permitted is reasonable.

121. DELETED

- 121A. The O & M expenses for LSP-Excluding Storage, LSP-Storage, and LSG-T shown in attached Schedules 1-A, 1-B, and 1-C are reasonable.
- 122. The federal income tax expense for LSP-Excluding Storage is \$3,823,939 as demonstrated in Schedule 1-A; federal income tax expense for LSP-Storage is \$1,651,921, as demonstrated in Schedule 1-B; federal income tax expense for LSG-T is \$3,116,940, as demonstrated in Schedule 1-C. These amounts are reasonable.

- 123. Taxes other than income for LSP-Excluding Storage are \$3,359,568, as demonstrated in Schedule 1-A; taxes other than income for LSP-Storage are \$763,132, as demonstrated in Schedule 1-B; taxes other than income for LSG-T are \$928,295, as demonstrated in Schedule 1-C. These amounts are reasonable.
- 124. An adjustment of \$622,773 to expenses for LSP-Excluding Storage is necessary to account for increased costs due to customer growth.
- 125. An adjustment of \$4,686,209 for LSG-T is necessary to account for increased expenses due to customer growth.
- 126. The city gate revenue requirement for LSP-Excluding Storage as demonstrated in Schedule 1-A; city gate revenue requirement for LSP Storage as demonstrated in Schedule 1-B; and city gate revenue requirement for LSG-T as demonstrated in Schedule 1-C are reasonable.
- 127. Gas cost is the most significant expense of a gas utility. Lone Star's gas cost varies substantially from month to month. It is permissible to set gas cost at a level that becomes a part of the cost of service. To simply set a base price of gas in this order would not be reasonable, because that would allow overrecovery from ratepayers if the price of gas fell below that level and would result in underrecovery if the market price rose above the set level for a sustained period of time.
- 128. Modifying the existing Gas Cost Adjustment Clause from GUD No. 3543 to add a periodic reconciliation procedure conducted at 36 month intervals is reasonable.
- 129. Reviewing and applying in this docket only those standards that are required to determine the appropriate prospective gas cost recovery mechanism is reasonable.
- 130. Severing all issues related to refunds for gas cost recovered in past periods and through the date this order is signed from this docket and reserving such issues for consideration in GUD No. 8647 is reasonable.
- 131. Severing all issues related to purchasing and contracting practices through the date this order is signed and reserving them for consideration in GUD No. 8647 is reasonable. Periodic reconciliation is reasonable.
- 132. In accordance with the Company's projected WACOG from 1997 through 2003, a base rate cost of gas component of \$2.20 per Mcf is reasonable.

- 133. DELETED
- 134. DELETED
- 135. DELETED
- 136. The Company buys some gas from affiliates. It is reasonable to impose restrictions on the Company that force it to comply with the statutory standard for affiliate transactions.
 - 137. DELETED
 - 138. Imposing the following pricing restrictions on the GCAC is reasonable:
 - R-1 DELETED
 - R-2. DELETED
 - R-3. DELETED
 - R-4. DELETED
 - R-5. DELETED
 - R-6. DELETED
 - R-7. DELETED
 - R-8. affiliate purchases may be included at the lowest price charged by the affiliated supplier to other divisions, affiliates, or third parties for the same class of purchased gas;
 - R-9. spot purchases made to cover imbalances to transportation customers may not be included;
 - R-10. the duplicative approximately \$0.58 per Mcf NGPA §311 transport fee component for LSGCOT/ONEOK purchases may not be included;
 - R-11. any other similar double charges for transportation due to an NGPA §311 rate charged for service that is implicitly contained in the city gate rate, or

any other cause, may not be included;

- R-12. charges under the LSGCOT/ONEOK contract may be included at LSGCOT's actual cost;
- R-13. charges by affiliates of any margin above the affiliate's cost of gas may not be included;
- R-14. take-or-pay payments to affiliated companies may not be included unless Lone Star obtains the approval of the Director of the Gas Services Section in writing prior to inclusion, *i.e.*, Contract No. 3708, Enserch Exploration, Inc.; and

R-15 DELETED

- 139. It is reasonable to require Lone Star to file a reconciliation application with the Commission every 36 months to seek a Commission determination of the reasonableness and necessity of its gas purchases during the prior 36-month period. The Commission may order surcharge or refund, as appropriate. The first such proceeding shall be initiated 36 months from the date this order is signed.
- 140. It is not necessary in this case to rule on the prudence of past gas purchase practices in order to set a going forward gas cost recovery mechanism. Therefore, it is not necessary to find that the Company requested "no hindsight" standard is a necessary standard for determining the prospective gas cost and gas cost recovery mechanism. The appropriate standard for determining the reasonableness and necessity of historic gas cost is an issue which will be considered on an expedited basis in GUD No. 8647.
 - 140A. The current GCAC should be modified to include a LUG/CUG factor of .9890.
 - 141. DELETED
 - 142. DELETED
 - 143. DELETED
 - 144. DELETED
 - 145. DELETED

146. DELETED

- 147. Comparison to other LDCs is a proper standard for determining the appropriate gas cost to be included in the Company's GCAC, particularly in determining the reasonableness of the examiners proposed \$2.20 base cost of gas and restructured GCAC.
- 148. Lone Star failed to present evidence that the prices charged by its affiliate suppliers were no higher than the prices the supplying affiliate charged to other affiliates or to unaffiliated third parties.
- 149. Approving Restriction R-8 which permits the Company to include affiliate purchases at the lowest price charged by the affiliated supplier to other divisions or third parties is necessary to ensure the Company complies with the affiliate transaction standard.
- 150. Lone Star's current GCAC formula, limited by the restrictions stated in Finding of Fact No. 138 and modified to include a requirement that Lone Star seek reconciliation of its gas costs passed through its GCAC in a periodic docketed reconciliation proceeding before the Commission, is reasonable.
- 151. Application of any other standards for gas cost recovery should be considered in GUD No. 8647.
 - 152. Lone Star's average three day peak volume for the city gate is 1,654,508 Mcf.
- 153. The use of the three consecutive weekday average peak occurring on January 31 through February 2, 1996 is reasonable because use by transportation, industrial, and electric generation customers drops on weekends. Using a weekday peak period gives a more accurate picture of the use of pipeline capacity.
- 154. Excluding intra-hub and short-term transactions in determining the three-day peak volumes results in an average gross peak day volume of 3,081,017 Mcf.
 - 155. The city gate total annual throughput is 136,097,803 Mcf.
 - 156. DELETED
- 157. Residential and commercial customers of LSP-Excluding Storage consume 27.69 percent of the volumes transported by the pipeline.
 - 158. DELETED

- 159. DELETED
- 160. DELETED
- 161. DELETED
- 162. Cushion gas is required for both seasonal use of storage and high deliverability withdrawals on peak days.
- 163. The Company's classification of storage expenses to either fixed or variable components is reasonable.
- 164. Equal division of LSP-Storage investment and expenses to deliverability and seasonal components is reasonable.
- 165. The Staff's proposed allocation methodologies for LSP-Excluding Storage, LSP-Storage, and LSG-T appropriately ascribe costs to the class of customer for whose benefit those costs are incurred.
- 165A. Approving Aligned Cities' proposed revenue credit to LSP-Excluding Storage City Gate Residential and Commercial customer class of a portion of Lone Star's short-term and intrahub revenues, allocated using Staff's proposed allocation factors, will insure that neither LSP nor any class of customers is advantaged or disadvantaged by the provision of short-term and intrahub service and is consistent with the exclusion of short-term and intrahub transactions in the calculation of Staff's allocation factors for LSP-Excluding Storage.

166. DELETED

- 167. It is reasonable to allocate "other investment", except cash working capital, between LSP-Excluding Storage and LSP-Storage using general plant allocation factors of 88.56 percent for LSP-Excluding Storage and 11.44 percent for LSP-Storage.
 - 168. DELETED
- 169. The present design capacity of Lone Star Pipeline was not placed in the record in this proceeding.
 - 170. The Company does not maintain records of customer interruptions.
 - 171. DELETED

- 172. DELETED
- 173. DELETED
- 174. DELETED
- 175. DELETED
- 176. DELETED
- 177. DELETED
- 178. DELETED
- 179. The Company's proposed rate design provides for guaranteed recovery of a substantial portion of its revenue requirement.
- 180. The Company's proposed rate design contains eight pages of text and nine pages of calculations. Only after performing all nine pages of calculations could a customer determine the city gate rate.
- 181. The Company's proposed rate design contains 44 separate acronyms for the numbers being calculated.
- 182. The Company's Fuel and Lost Adjustment Tracker, the FLAT, and Storage Fuel Adjustment Tracker, the SFAT, contain 27 separate acronyms.
- 183. The Company's proposed rate design does not consistently use the same term to describe the same item throughout its text and schedules.
- 184. The text describing the Company's rate design and the schedules showing the calculation do not use consistent terms for the various elements.
- 185. The protest procedure in the Company's proposed rate design allows only ten days after the filing of the monthly tariff in which to protest. The monthly tariff is filed in the month preceding the month in which it will be in effect. Therefore, a customer would not know the effect the tariff had on her bill until substantially after the expiration of the protest period.
- 186. The Company's proposed FLAT and SFAT formulas contain flaws that render any calculation using them erroneous and are so complex that the Company's own experts cannot

perform them.

- 187. DELETED
- 188. DELETED
- 189. DELETED
- 190. Extending the protest deadline to 60 days and provide for reconciliation of gas costs every 36 months is reasonable.
- 191. The Company failed to present evidence that its proposed MMBtu/Mcf conversion was reasonable.
 - 192. DELETED
- 193. Approving a rate design composed of a base rate margin of \$0.3227 for LSP-Excluding Storage, \$0.0878 for LSP-Storage, and \$0.1185 for LSG-T and a PGA composed of Lone Star's current GCAC as modified by the 8 Restrictions and the LUG/CUG expansion factor of .9890 is reasonable, because it permits a predictable recovery of revenue plus mechanism for preventing over-recovery of gas costs.
- 194. Rate case expenses as demonstrated on Schedules VIII and VIII.A. are reasonable and necessary.
 - 195. DELETED
 - 195A. DELETED
 - 196. DELETED
 - 197. It is reasonable to sever for consideration in GUD No. 8647 the following:
 - A. consideration of the reasonableness and necessity of all Lone Star's gas costs and gas purchase practices and the application of its PGA (GCAC) from the date of the final order in GUD No. 3543 through the date the Order is signed in this case;
 - B. all issues related to refunds due to ratepayers from any

wa Ca

Lone Star overrecoveries from any source from the date of the final order in GUD No. 3543 through the date the order is signed in this case;

- C. consideration of all issues related to refunds related to the double assessment of transportation charges to city gate customers for gas purchased by Lone Star Gas Company of Texas from ONEOK between July 1, 1991, and the date the Order is signed in this case;
- D. DELETED
- E. DELETED
- F. All other reconciliation and refund issues;
- G. All rate case expenses estimated for the conclusion of this case as of April 14, 1997;
- H. Whether Lone Star should be required to convert immediately to using the NARUC System of Accounts numbering system; and
- I. Lone Star's study regarding the potential for open access and unbundling.

198. DELETED

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW (As Revised On Rehearing)

- 1. Enserch Corporation, including its unincorporated division, Lone Star Pipeline Company, and Lone Star Gas Company-Transmission, an operating unit of its unincorporated division Lone Star Gas Company, and ENSAT Pipeline Company are gas utilities, as defined in §1.03(3) of Gas Utility Regulatory Act, TEX. REV. CIV. STAT. ANN. art. 1446e (GURA) (Vernon Supp. 1997) and are therefore subject to the jurisdiction of this Commission.
- 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over Lone Star's application, pursuant to GURA §§2.01 and 5.08.
 - 3. Lone Star's filing and its customer and public notice comply with the requirements

of §5.08 of GURA.

4. Recognizing the presumption that Lone Star's expenses and investments as recorded on its books and records were reasonably and necessarily incurred complies with 16 Tex. Admin. Code §7.58.

5. DELETED

- 6. As reflected in the findings of fact, Lone Star failed to meet its burden of proof to justify its proposed rate increase as required by GURA §5.04.
- 7. The recommended treatment of investment tax credits in rate base, described in the findings of fact, complies with the requirements of §4.01(e) of GURA.
- 8. The advertising expense described in the findings of fact meets the requirements of 16 T.A.C. §7.56 and GURA §4.04.
- 9. The uncontroverted components of the Company's cost of service are reasonable and necessarily incurred, pursuant to 16 Tex. ADMIN. CODE §7.58.

10. DELETED

- 11. The operations and maintenance expense, depreciation and amortization expense, taxes other than income taxes expense, federal income tax expense, interest on customer deposit expense, and return set out in the findings of fact and reflected in the attached Commission schedules are reasonable and necessary, in compliance with GURA §5.06.
- 12. The Company's Gas Cost Adjustment formula, as modified in the findings of fact, meets the criteria of 16 Tex. ADMIN. CODE §7.55.
- 13. The lost and unaccounted for gas factor described in the findings of fact, complies with the definitions, procedures, and limitations of 16 Tex. ADMIN. CODE §7.52.
- 14. The depreciation expense recommended in the findings of fact complies with 16 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §7.51(a) and GURA §4.01(b).
- 15. The shared or common plant, revenues, expenses, taxes, and reserves shown in Schedules 1-A, 1-B, and 1-C are apportioned fairly and justly, in compliance with 16 Tex. ADMIN. CODE §7.51(b) and (c).

- 16. The amount of CWIP recommended for inclusion in invested capital rate base in Findings of Fact Nos. 27 and 28 represent investment already placed in service and do not properly come within the definition of CWIP in 16 Tex. Admin. Code §7.48 and GURA §5.05(b). The remaining portion of the Company's request for inclusion of CWIP in rate base does not comply with 16 Tex. Admin. Code §7.48 and §5.05(b) of GURA.
 - 17. The Company met the filing requirements of 16 Tex. ADMIN. CODE §7.50.
- 18. The Commission's approved transfer of Enserch Administrative and General expenses to the City Gate, as described in the findings of fact, complied with 16 Tex. Admin. Code §7.51(b) and (c).
- 18A. Lone Star failed to meet its burden of proof that its affiliate gas purchases met the standard for affiliate transactions of GURA §5.06(c).
- 19. The rates and rate design reflected in the findings of fact and in the attached Commission schedules and attachments are just and reasonable, not unreasonably preferential, prejudicial, or discriminatory, but are sufficient, equitable, and consistent in application to each class of consumers, in compliance with GURA §5.02(a).
- 20. The overall revenues reflected in the findings of fact and in the attached Commission schedules will permit Lone Star a reasonable opportunity to earn a reasonable return on its invested capital used and useful in rendering service to the public over and above its reasonable and necessary operating expenses, as required by GURA §5.03.
- 21. The rate case expenses recommended in the findings of fact are reasonable and comply with 16 Tex. ADMIN. CODE §7.57.

ORDERING PARAGRAPHS (As Revised on Rehearing)

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Lone Star's requested revenue increase and rate design are DENIED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the rates and rate design reflected in the findings of fact and conclusions of law and in the Examiners' Schedules are APPROVED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Lone Star's city gate rate shall be set at base rate margins of \$0.3227 for LSP-Excluding Storage, \$0.0878 for LSP-Storage, and \$0.1185 for

LSG-T; a base rate gas cost component of \$2.20 per Mcf; and the current GCAC, as modified in the findings of fact.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that LSP-Excluding Storage, LSP-Storage, and LSG-T SHALL each file with the Gas Services Division of the Commission within 20 days of the date this Order is signed three copies of a tariff complying with the requirements of this Order. The Gas Services Division Staff SHALL review the tariff and, no later than the tenth day after the tariff is filed, SHALL forward one copy of the tariff to the examiners with a statement whether the tariff complies with this Order and should be approved or does not comply with this order and should be rejected. No later than the twentieth day after the tariff is filed, the examiners shall notify Lone Star in writing whether the tariff is approved or rejected. If the examiners reject the tariff, the written notice shall include the reasons for rejection. Within ten days of the date of the notice of rejection, LSP-Excluding Storage, LSP-Storage, and LSG-T each SHALL file three copies of a tariff correcting the deficiencies noted by the examiners, with the same procedures and deadlines for response and notice to apply to the corrected tariff.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Staff of the Regulatory Analysis and Policy Section of the Gas Services Division of the Railroad Commission is hereby authorized to approve the reporting format for the MGCA filing filed by Lone Star.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Lone Star shall continue to recover gas costs under its existing GCAC approved in GUD No. 3543, limited by the following restrictions:

- R-1 DELETED
- R-2. DELETED
- R-3. DELETED
- R-4. DELETED
- R-5. DELETED
- R-6. DELETED
- R-7. DELETED
- R-8. affiliate purchases may be included at the lowest price charged by the affiliated supplier to other divisions, affiliates, or third parties for the same class of purchased gas;

- R-9. spot purchases made to cover imbalances to transportation customers may not be included;
- R-10. the duplicative approximately \$0.58 per Mcf NGPA §311 transport fee component for LSGCOT/ONEOK purchases may not be included;
- R-11. any other similar double charges for transportation due to an NGPA §311 rate charged for service that is implicitly contained in the city gate rate, or any other cause, may not be included;
- R-12 charges under the LSGCOT/ONEOK contract may be included at LSGCOT's actual cost;
- R-13. charges by affiliates of any margin above the affiliate's cost of gas may not be included;
- R-14. take-or-pay payments to affiliated companies may not be included, unless Lone Star obtains the approval of the Director of the Gas Services Section in writing prior to inclusion, *i.e.*, Contract No. 3708, Enserch Exploration, Inc. (WTU Ex. 44, p. 001; AC Ex. 151, p. 1); and

R-15 DELETED

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Lone Star shall collect rate case expenses as detailed in the attached Schedules VIII and VIII.A, through a surcharge to ratepayers and such rate case expenses shall be amortized over a 24-month period.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED the Lone Star shall establish an external trust for OPEB expenses within six months of the date of this Order. This external fund SHALL be dedicated strictly to the payment of OPEB expenses. This fund SHALL receive deposits monthly. The plan SHALL to the extent permitted by federal tax laws provide for the deduction of contributions and SHALL allow earnings to accumulate free from taxes.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Lone Star shall convert from pay-as-you-go accounting to accounting under Financial Accounting Standards No. 106.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Lone Star shall recover the transition obligation over a 20-year amortization period.

DELETED

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Lone Star is admonished for failing to disclose its actual settlement practices in a timely manner.

IT FURTHER ORDERED that the following issues be severed and considered in GUD No. 8647:

- consideration of the reasonableness and necessity of all Lone Star's gas costs and gas purchase practices and the application of its PGA (GCAC) from the date of the final order in GUD No. 3543 through the date the Order is signed in this case;
- 2. all issues related to refunds due to ratepayers from any Lone Star overrecoveries from any source from the date of the final order in GUD No. 3543 through the date the order is signed in this case;
- consideration of all issues related to refunds related to the double assessment of transportation charges to city gate customers for gas purchased by Lone Star Gas Company of Texas from ONEOK between July 1, 1991, and the date the Order is signed in this case;
- 4. DELETED
- DELETED
- 6. All other reconciliation and refund issues;
- 7. All rate case expenses estimated for the conclusion of this case as of April 14, 1997;
- 8. Whether Lone Star should be required to convert immediately to using the NARUC System of Accounts numbering system; and
- 9. Lone Star's study of the feasibility of extending open access and unbundled services.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Lone Star shall file a statement of intent three years after the effective date of the merger for consideration of the changes in the cost of service established in this case. Lone Star's filing SHALL include full and complete documentation of

all merger savings.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Lone Star shall file with the Commission every thirtysix months beginning from the date this Order is signed for an application for a reconciliation proceeding to demonstrate that its gas costs are reasonable and necessary. The Commission may order surcharge or refund, as appropriate.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law not specifically adopted herein are DENIED.

g:\data\gulp\wp\clg\reheamg\NUNC-FOF.N25

SCHEDULE 1-A - SECOND ORDER ON REHEARING NUNC PRO TUNC

GAS UTILITIES DOCKET NO. 8864 LONE STAR GAS COMPANY STATEMENT OF INTENT REVENUE REQUIREMENT CALCULATON

LSP-EXCLUDING STORAGE

			I						ALLO	HOITAG	ALLOC	ATIOH I		
			.\		TOTA	L SYSTEM COSTS	1		METHO	XOLOGIES	PERCEN	TAGES	CITY GAT	ERATES
				COMPANY	COMPANY	COMPANY	COMMISSION	COMMISSION	COMPANY	COMMISSION	COMPANY	COMMISSIO	COMPANY	COMMISSION
				PER BOOKS	ADJUSTMENTS	PROPOSED	ADJUSTMENTS	APPROVED	METHOD	METHOD	%	%	PROPOSED	APPROVED
	A	8	c D	E	f	G	н	t	J	*	L	M	N	0
						,								
5	ECTH	OH #	- RATE BASE											
		Moca	sted Rata Basa											
			Plant in Service -											
	1		Total Other Than General	\$342,640,803	10	\$342,640,803	\$0	1342 640,803	DEMAND	COMPOSITE	58 28%	40 70%	\$199 691 050	\$139 437 675
	2		Total General Plant	\$23,964,407	\$0	\$23,968,407	10	\$23 958 407	DEMAND	COMPOSITE	55 26%	40 70%	\$13 968,768	\$9,753 943
	3		Total Plant in Service	1366,609,210	\$0	\$366,609,210	10	\$366 609,210					1213 659 848	\$149,191 618
	(Detect	Investment											
	4		Construction Work in Process	\$335,879	\$0	\$335,879	(\$255,484)		DEMAND	COMPOSITE	58 25%	40 70%	\$195,750	\$32,717
	5		Retrement Work in Progress	(\$156,822)	\$0	(\$156,822)	\$0	(\$156,822)		COMPOSITE	50 28%	40 70%	(191,396)	(\$63 \$19)
	54		Construction Completed Hot Classified Adjustment	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$55,754)	(\$86,754)	DEMAND	COMPOSITE	58 28%	40 70%	10	(\$35,305)
			Cash Working Capital											
	•		Cash Requirement for O&M	\$7,135,\$70	10	\$7,135,070	(\$7,135,970)		MAO MAN	DIRECT	58 25%	100 00%	\$4,150 843	10
	7		Materials & Supplies Inventory	\$1,424,405	10	\$1,424,405	\$0	\$1,424,405		COMPOSITE	58 28%	40 70%	\$830,143	1579,662
	٠		Prepayments	1440,596	14	\$840,596	\$0	1040,596		COMPOSITE	58 28%	40 70%	\$489 899	\$342.061
	٠		Unrestored Investment Tax Credits	(\$5,745,366)	10	(\$5,745,380)	(\$3,377,973)	(\$9,123,361)	DEMAND	COMPOSITE	58 28%	40 70%	(\$3,348,412)	(\$3,712,752)
	10		Gains and Losses from Sales	\$0	10	\$0	10	\$0		COMPOSITE	56 26%	40 70%	\$0	10
	11		Transition Obligation	\$0	\$0	20	10	10		COMPOSITE	58 28%	48 79%	\$0	10
	12		Insurance Reserves	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$3,945,514)	(\$3,945,514)		COMPOSITE	58 28%	40 70%	10	(11 605 827)
	12		Accumulated Deferred FIT	(\$43,761,275)	\$0	(\$43,761,275)	\$0	(\$43,761,275)	DEMAND	COMPOSITE	58 28%	40 70%	(\$25 504 071)	(\$17,808,851)
	14 1	otal	Other investment	(639,926,635)	10	(\$39,924,635)	(\$14 601,695)	(\$54,728,330)					(\$23 269,244)	(\$22,271,694)
			invested Capital	\$326,662,675	10	\$326,602,575	(\$14 601,695)	\$311,880 880					\$190,000	3126 919 924
			led Value Increment	\$226,911,341	\$0	\$226,911,341	\$0	\$226 911,341			56 28%		\$132 243 930	
	17	i olai	Adjusted Value Rate Base	\$553,593,816	10	\$553,593,916	\$0	\$553,593 \$16			58 28%		1022,634 533	\$322 634 533
			- RATE OF RETURN											
		Sum	many of Net Operating Income/Ratum	*** *** ***		*** *** ***	****	****			******		NEW WORLD STATE	0780 27 00 0 0
	18		Long Term Debt	\$11,364,307	\$0	\$11,364,307	\$252,632	\$11,616,939			58 28%	40 70%	\$6 623,118	\$4,727,513
	19		Preferred Stock	\$496,558	\$0	\$496,558	(\$97,350)	\$399,208			50 26%	40 70%	\$289,394	1162,450
	20	_	Common Equity	\$23,357,804	10	123,357,804	(\$5,297,920)	\$17,059 864			58 28%	40 70%	113 812 920	\$6 942,520
	21	TOUN	Return on Invested Capital	\$35,218,669	\$0	135,218,669	(\$5,142,630)	\$29 076,031					120,525 440	\$11 832,491
	•													
		oun	mary of Rate Base by Kind of Invested Capital			**** *** ***	** ***	****						
			Long Term Debt	\$140,473,507	\$0 '\$0	\$140,473,507 \$0,533,652	\$9,229,315	\$149,702,822 \$6,237,618	48 00% 2 00%				\$81 867,960	\$60,921,564
."	.53		Preferred Stock	\$4,533,652		\$0,533,652 \$178,675,416	(\$296,034)		2 00% 50 00%				\$3 \$07,812	12 538 398
	24	Test	Common Equity I Invested Capital	\$179,675,416 \$326,662,575	\$0 \$0	\$178,873,410 \$326,682,575	(\$23,734,978) (\$14,803,695)	\$155,940,440	100 00%				\$104,714 \$32	\$63,459 \$62
	1.9	100	a and total column	8329,002,372	***	8320,062,373	(\$17,001,833)	\$111,000,800	10000	100 00%			\$190,390 805	\$126,219 924

26	Adjusted Value Increment	\$226,911,341	10	\$225,911,341	\$0	\$224 911,341			58 28%		\$132,243 930	
27	Total Adjusted Value Rate Base	1553,593,916	10	\$553,593,616	\$0	1516 077,136					\$322 634 534	\$327 634,534
	Return Required (Stored Gas and CWC)											
28	Long Torre Dobl @	8 D9%		8 09%	-0 33%	7 74%					8 09%	7 74%
21		7 60%		7 60%	-1 20%	8 40%					7 60%	8 40%
30	Common Equity Q	13 00%		13 00%	-2 06%	10 94 K					13 00%	10 94%
31	Fotal Rate on Invested Capital	10 76%		10 76%	-1 46%	9 32%					10 78%	\$ 32%
\$EC	TION N.A - REVENUES											v.
	Operating Revenues											
32	City Gata Deliveries	\$71,343,343	(\$1,598,816)	\$69,744,527	(\$25,825,193)	\$43,919,334			100 00%	100 00%	\$72,231,119	\$43 919 334
33	Indust Pub. Auth, & Other	\$17,270,638	(\$18,408)	\$17,252,431	\$0	\$17,252,431			0.00%	0.00%	\$0	\$0
24	Other Transportation Delivaries	\$45,727,207	(\$3,478,157)	\$42,249,050	\$0	\$42,249,050			0 00%	0 00%	\$0	10
35	Other Transportation Deliveries for CGD Credit	\$6,402,605	\$0	\$6,402,605	10	\$6,402,605			58 28%	0 00%	\$3,731,438	\$0
36	Other Transportation Deliveries for CGD Cradit	\$130,665	\$0	\$130,665	\$0	\$130 665			27 69%	27 69%	136,141	\$36,181
37	Gas Liquida (Accounts 481 & 492)	12,594,448	(11,204,599)	\$1,389,749	\$0	\$1,349,749			27 69%	27 69%	\$384,821	1384 821
34	ENSAT & Other (Acres 493 & 495)	\$3,159,316	\$0	\$3,159,316	10	\$3,159,316			0.00%	29 92%	\$0	1945,267
30	Total Revenues	\$145,828,423	(\$6,300,060)	\$140,328,343	(\$25,825,193)	\$114 503,150					178 383 660	\$45 285 604
sec	TION N.B - EXPENSES											
	Other O & M Expenses											
40	Labor - Fixed	\$20,690,893	\$636,797	\$27,335,690	(\$4,092,220)	123,243,470	DEMAND	COMPOSITE	58 28%	40 70%	\$15 931,240	19,458,930
41	Supplies & Expenses - Fixed	\$20,090,072	(\$2,478,893)	\$23,219,979	(\$784,891)	\$22,435,008	DEMAND	COMPOSITE	55 28%	40 70%	\$13 532,604	\$9,129 950
42	Supplies & Expenses - Variable	\$4,306,348	\$189,190	14,495,534	(\$118 495)	\$6,375,843	VOLUME	VOLUME	27 69%	27 69%	\$1,798 614	\$1,765,471
43	Uncollected Accounts	\$36,549	\$0	\$36,549	\$0	\$36,549			0 00%		\$0	10
44	Unaccounted For Gas	11,573,994	(\$41,870)	\$9,492,128	\$0	\$9,492,128			0 00%	0.00%	\$0	\$0
45	Company Used Gas	\$4,929,645	(\$1,325,734)	\$3,603,907	10	\$3,603,907			0 00%	0.00%	10	\$0
	Taxes Other Than Income Taxes											
44	AND FORD TO AND PLEASE OF	\$6,337,249	(\$238,000)	\$6,101,249	\$0	\$6,101,269		COMPOSITE	58 28%	40 70%	\$3 555 #31	\$2,402,920
47	•	\$1,730,355	1124,961	\$1,857,314	(\$290,527)	\$1,566,789		COMPOSITE	50 28%	40 70%	\$1,002 444	3637 605
48		\$733,142	(\$31,500)	\$701,642			DEMAND		58 28%		\$381,918	\$239,043
49	Provision for Depreciation	\$10,176,101	\$2,567,610	\$20,744,001	\$0	\$70,744,001	DEMANO	COMPOSITE	56 26%	40 70%	\$12,089 604	\$4,441,771
50	Total Operating Expenses Before FiT	\$100,621,282	(\$1,033,243)	\$99,588,039	(\$5,287,333)	\$93,599,064					\$40,372 255	\$32,155,698
	Hat Operating Income Bafors FIT	\$46,007,141	(\$5,264,837)	\$40,740,304	(120,537,859)	120,904,087					******	
*1	And Opening account parce (1)	***,***,***	[45,200,057]	\$10,710,30A	(\$20,527,638)	170,001,001					\$28 011,305	\$13,129.906
52	Federal Income Taxes ,										\$7,485 B65	\$3 823,939
53	Incrested Capital	\$326,642,575	10	\$326,602,575	(\$14,601,695)	\$311,880,860			58 28%		\$190,390 604	\$126,919 924
54	Percent Return on Invested Capital	10 74%	0 00%	10 78%	-1 46%	8 32%	•				10 78%	9 32%
55	Net Operating Income/Return	\$35,218,669	\$0	\$35,218,668	(\$7,475,446)	127,712,948			58 28%	40 70%	120 525,440	\$11 828 937
200		****		4444 484 355								
552	Total Revenue Requirement	\$135,639,951		\$134,606,708		\$121,312,011					175,303 560	\$47,808 574
654	8 Revenue Credita	(\$9,127,718)	\$1,204,699	(\$7,923,019)							(\$4,152,440)	(\$3,889.240)
550	Margin Requirement										\$72,231,120	\$40,919,004
											**************************************	- 101715

		817,217,211	468 022,459	603,758,198
		(362,064)	362,064	0
		136,097,603	467,660,395	603,758,198
		(5,119,492)	(5.875,594)	(8,895,486)
		139,217,685	473,535,949	449,257,510
RATE DESIGN				Total Volume Delivered
I'M - THROUGHFUT &	Lumes Debraced - Mcd	26 City Gate Delivaries	Ogher	
SECTION	3	*	6	3

\$	Other		473,535,948	(5,875,594)	467,660
*	7	Total Volume Delivered	612,753,684	(9,895,486)	27,500
RAIS	Rate Design				
35	Cay Gate Margin Requisition				

Cay Gate Debraries 3 5

\$43 \$19,334 136 097,803 \$0.3227

\$72,231,120 136,097,803 \$0 \$307

136 097,603

136 097,803

Margin Requirement per McI

G YOATAYGUL PIQPUZBINGGIYCOMMISSING-CREDI WB1 TAB: LSPSTRG

SCHEDULE 1-B - SECOND ORDER ON REHEARING NUNC PRO TUNC

GAS UTILITIES DOCKET NO. 8664 STATEMENT OF INTENT OF LONE STAR REVENUE REQUIREMENT CALCULATON

LSP-STORAGE

							ALL	DCATION	ALLOC	ALION		
	<i>i</i> ,		70	TAL SYSTEM COS	16			DOLOGIES	PERCE	1	CITY GAT	
	\'	COMPANY	COMPANY ,	COMPANY	COMMISSION	COMMISSION	COMPANY	COMMISSION	COMPANY	COMMISSION	COMPANY	COMMISSION
		PER BOOKS	ADJUSTMENTS	PROPOSED	ADJUSTMENTS	APPROVED	METHOD	METHOD	%	*	PROPOSED	APPROVED
sec	TION # - PATE BASE											
	Allocated Rate Base	Del.										
	Plant In Service											
1	Total Other Than General	\$44,262,838	\$0	\$44,262,838	(\$107 000)	\$44,160 838	SEABOARD	SEABOARD	95 24%	95 24%	\$42,153 714	\$47 056 574
2	Total General Plant	13,096,281	10	\$3,096,261	\$0	\$3 096 281	SEABOARD	SEABOARD	95 24%	95 24%	\$2,948 743	\$2 948,743
3	Total Plant in Service	\$47,359,118	\$0	\$47,359,119	(\$102,000)	\$47,257,110	SEABOARD	SEABOARD			\$45,102,457	\$45,005,317
	Gas Stored Underground											
4	Cushion Gas	\$17,394,593	\$0	\$17,394,593	(\$21,000)	\$17,373,593	SEABOARD	SEABOARD	95 24%	95 24%	\$15 565,741	\$18,545,742
	Other Investment											
5	Construction Work in Process	\$43,390	\$0	\$43,390	(\$32,004)		SEABOARD	SEABOARD	95 24%	95 24%	\$41,322	19 691
	Retirement Work in Progress	(\$20,259)	\$0	(\$20,259)	\$0	(\$20,259)	DRAGBASS	SEABOARD	95 24%	95 24%	(\$19 294)	(\$19,293)
	Cash Working Capital											
7	Cash Requirement for O&M	\$531,176	\$0	\$531,176	(\$531,176)		SEABOARD	SEABOARD	95 24%	95 24%	1505 865	\$0
•	Materials & Supplies Inventory	\$184,007	\$0	\$184,007	\$0		SEABOARD	SEABOARD	95 24%	95 24%	\$175 239	\$175,230
	Prepayments	\$100,590	\$0	\$108,590	(\$0)		SEABOARD	SEABOARD	95 24%	95 24%	\$103,416	\$103 415
19	Unrestored Investment Tax Credits	(1742,199)	\$0	(\$742,199)	(\$436,373)	69 (8)	SEABOARD	SEABOARD	95 24%	B5 24%	(\$708 \$33)	(\$1,122 413)
11	Gains and Losses from Sales	\$0	50	\$0	\$0		GRADBABB	SEABOARD	85 24%	95 24%	10	10
12	Transition Obligation	\$0	10	\$0	\$0		SEABOARD	SEABOARD	85 24%	95 24%	\$0	10
13	Insurance Reserves	\$0	10	\$0	(\$509,689)	180000000000000000000000000000000000000	SEABOARD	SEABOARD	95 24%	95 24%	10	(\$405,402)
14	Accumulated Deferred FIT	(15,653,159)	-	(\$5,653,159)	10	20 12 12	SEABOARD	SEABOARD	95 24%	95 24%	(\$5 383,784)	(\$5 383,766)
ts	Total Other Investment	(\$5,548,484)	10	(\$5,548,454)	(\$1,510,242)	(\$7,050 696)	SEABOARD	SEABOARD			(\$5,284 070)	(\$6.727,349)
	Total Invested Capital	\$59,205,254	\$0	150,205,254	(\$1,633,242)	\$57,572,018			95 25%	85 25%	\$56 384,178	\$54,626,710
	Adjusted Value Increment	\$44,505,452	10	\$44,505,452					95 74%	95 24%	\$42,384,767	
14	Total Adjusted Value Rate Base	\$103,710,710	10	\$103,710,710	\$0	\$103,710,710					198,768,895	\$98,768,895
\$60	TION M - RATE OF RETURN											
	Summary of Het Operating Income/Return	** ***		40.040.633								
19	May 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	\$2,059,573	40 92%	\$2,059,573	\$64,869	\$2,144,442			95 24%	95 24%	\$1,961,435	\$2,042,260
20		\$49,992	1.41%	189,992	(\$18,300)	\$73 692			95 24%	95 24%	\$65,704	370,101
21		\$4,233,176	57 67%	\$4,233,174	(\$1,083,987)	\$3,149,189			95 24%	95 24%	\$4 031,485	\$2,999,130
22	Total Return on Invested Capital	\$6,382,741	100 00%	\$6,382,741	(\$1,015,417)	15,367,324					16 078 604	15,111,571
	Summary of Rate Base by Kind of Invested Capital	404 444 044		#75 AFA A4-	42 474 247	*37.434.444			04.5.44	A4 2 ***	434.344.34	*** *** ***
23	SANTON - NAMES OF SANTON SANTO	325,458,261	48 00%	\$25,454,261	\$2,178,307	\$27,634,568			95 24%	95 24%	\$24,245,375	\$26,317,781
24		\$1,184,105		\$1,184,105	(\$32,605)	\$1,151,440			95 24%	8 5 24%	\$1,127,683	\$1,050,574
21	Common Equity	\$32,562,892	50 00%	\$32,562,892	(\$3,778,684)	\$20,766,008			95 24%	95 24%	\$31,011,270	\$27,414,355

24	Total Invested Capital	\$59,205,254	100 00%	159 205,254	(\$1,633,242)	\$57,572 016					156 384,127	154 828,710
27	Adjusted Value Increment	\$44,505,452	100 00%	\$44,505,452					95 24%	95 24%	\$42,384,767	
24	Total Adjusted Value Rate Base	\$103,710,710	0 00%	1103,710,710	\$0	\$103 710,710					\$94 758 895	195,768 895
	Resum Required (Stored Gas and CWC)	90										
29	Long Term Debt @	8 09%	0 00%	8 09%	-0 33%	7 76%					£ 09%	7 76%
30	Preferred Stock @	7 60%	9 00%	7 60%	-1 20%	£ 40%					7 60%	6 40%
31	Common Equity @	13 00%	0 00%	13 00%	-2 06%	10 94%					13 00%	10 94%
12	Total Rate on Invested Capital	10 74%	0 00%	10 78%	-1 46%	9 32%					10 78%	9 32%
SEC	TION IV.A ~ REVENUES											
	Operating Revenues											
23	Cay Gate Deliveries	\$0	\$15,655,583	115,655,583	(\$3,046,300)	\$12 609 283			95 24%	95 24%	\$14 909 594	\$12 008,450
34	Others	\$0	\$0	10	\$0	\$0					\$0	10
35	Stand by Fee Revenue	\$0	10	10	11,143,238	\$1,163,230			95 24%	95 24%	\$0	\$1,126 857
36	Total Revenues	\$0	\$15,655,583	\$15,655,543	(\$1,663,062)	\$13,792,521					\$14 909 594	\$13,135,307
37	Gas Used in Transportation Operations	\$1,142,170	(\$1,182,170)	\$0	\$0	\$0			100 00%	100 00%	\$0	\$0
\$EC:	TION IN.B - EXPENSES											
	Other Q & M Expenses				William Park	077247744			22 2 10	102 0 000	0.0000000	
36	Labor - Fixed	\$1,642,710	\$55,281	\$1,897,991	(\$169,416)	\$1,728,575	SEABOARD	SEABOARD	95 24%	95 24%	\$1 407,552	\$1,846 200
39	Supplies & Expenses - Fixed	\$840,150	125,205	\$865,355	(\$25,209)	\$840,545	GRADOARD	SEABOARD	95 24%	95 24%	1824,121	\$800,113
40	Supplies & Expenses - Variable	\$1,442,782	\$43,283	\$1,486,065	(\$43,283)	\$1,442,782	SEASONAL	SEASOHAL	90 47%	90 47%	\$1,344 443	\$1,305,285
	Taxes Other Than Income Taxes				22		SEABOARD	SEABOARD				
41		\$616,422	\$40	\$818,422	10	\$616,422		212 2 31 400	95 24%	R5 24%	\$507,049	\$587,049
42	William Harrison Control of Maria And Section 1	\$118,523	19,435	\$127,858	(\$12,028)	\$115,930	\$EABOARD	SEABOARD	85 24%	85 24%	\$121,641	\$110,406
43		\$0	\$0	10	\$40	\$0	SEABOARD SCHOOLS	SEABOARD	** ****	** ****	\$74,569	\$65 677
44	Provision for Depreciation	\$2,221,446	(\$269,840)	\$1,951,606	\$0	11,951,606	SEABOARD	SEABOARD	95 24%	95 24%	\$1,858 612	\$1,858.612
45	Yotal Operating Expenses Before FIT	\$7,042,033	(\$136,636)	\$6,945,397	(\$249,936)	\$5,695,461					\$6 618 207	\$6,373,351
46	Hat Operating Income Before FIT	(\$7,042,033)	\$15,792,218	\$8,710,166	(\$1,413,127)	\$7,097,059					\$8 291,388	\$6,761,956
47	Federal Income Taxes										\$2 216 937	\$1-051,921
48	bryested Capital	\$59,205,258	\$0	\$59,205,258	(\$1,633,242)	\$57,572,016					358,384,128	\$54,828,710
49	Percent Return on Invested Capital	10 78%	0 00%	10 74%	-1 46%	\$ 32%					10 78%	9 32%
\$0	Net Operating Income/Return	\$6,382,327		\$6,382,327		\$5,365,712					\$6 078 209	\$5,110.036
St	Total Revenue Requirement	\$13,464,360	\$0	\$13,327,724		\$12,061,173					\$14,913 353	\$13,135,307
51A	Standby Revenue Credit	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$1,103,236)	(\$1,183,238)					\$0	(\$1,183,238)
518	Total Margin Requirement										\$14.913,353	\$11,952.069
350	CTION YE - THROUGHPUT & RATE DESIGN											
	Volumes Delivered - McI											
52	2 City Gate Detrodes	139,217,695	(1,110,097)	136,097,603	(342,064)	135,735,739	DELIVERABILITY C	PELIVERABILITY	100 00%	100 00%	136,097,803	138,097,803
5:	3 Others	473,535,969	(5,875,584)	467,860,395	382,064	468,072,459			0.00%	0.00%	Q	٥

Page 6 OF 9

G.U.D. 8664

(6,995,466) 603,754,198

603,758,198

\$11,852,068 C08,780 &C1

\$14.913.353 136.097,603 10.1096

136 097,803

136 097,803

100 00%

\$00 00s

Total Volume Definered 612,733,684

Cay Gate Revenue Requirement Cay Gate Debredes

s x s

Margin Requirement per Mcl

SCHEDULE 1-C - SECOND ORDER ON REHEARING NUNC PRO TUNC

GAS UTILITIES DOCKET NO. 8664 STATEMENT OF INTENT OF LONE STAR REVENUE REQUIREMENT CALCULATON

LSG-T

										CATION	ALLOCA	ATION I		
				1	•				Was No					
			4.5	L		TAL SYSTEM CO				DOLOGIES	PERCEN			TE RATES
				COMPANY	COMPANY	COMPANY	COMMISSION	COMMISSION	COMPANY	COMMISSION		COMMISSION	COMPANY	COMMISSION
		5 8	(4)	PER BOOKS	ADJUSTMENTS	PROPOSED	ADJUSTMENTS	APPROVED	METHOD	METHOD	*	%	PROPOSED	APPROVED
	٨	8 C	0	E	F	a	н	ı	J	ĸ	L	м	н	O
!	SEC	NON # - NATE BASE												
		Allocated Rate Base												
		Gas Stored Underground	~			2122 712 222					45.5		2V.	
	1	Working Gas	0			\$102,110,692	(\$2,472,784)	199 237,908			100 00%	100 00%	\$102,110 692	199,237,908
	2	Total Gas Stored Underground	1			\$102,110,692	(\$2,872,784)	199,237,908					\$102,110 692	199,237,908
		Other Investment												
	3	Cash Requirement for O.	IN			\$380,734	(\$380,734)	\$0			86 52%	86 52%	1329 411	\$0
	-	Accumulated Deferred F				\$4,182,965	10	\$4,182,965			100 00%	100 00%	\$4,182,965	\$4,182,965
	4	Total Other Investment	,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			\$4,563,699	(\$380,734)	\$4,182,965			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	100 001	\$4,512,376	84,182 965
						,	1000-2,701,7	********					*1,512,510	14,191 202
		Total Invested Capital			7	\$105,674,381	(\$3,253,514)	1103,420 173			100 00%	100 00%	\$106,523.068	\$103,420 473
	7	Adjusted Value Increment				\$619,459					100 00%	100 00%	\$619 459	
		Total Adjusted Value Rate Bas	16			\$107,293,850	\$0	\$107,293,850					\$107 242,527	\$107,242 527
	SECT	TON # - RATE OF RETURN												
		Summery of Net Operating Inc.	ome/Raturn											
	•	Long Term Debt			48 00%	\$3,973,404	(\$121,107)	\$3,452 221			89 95%	99 95%	\$3 971,496	\$3,650,367
	10	Preferred Stock			2 00%	\$136,543	(\$4,164)	\$132,379			99 91%	99 95%	\$136,478	\$132,316
	11	Common Equity			50 00%	\$5,400,464	(\$743,342)	\$5 657,122			99 95%	99 95%	\$5 397,384	15 454,399
	12	Total Return on invested Capit	lai		100 00%	\$10,510,415	(\$868,694)	\$9,641,721			99 95%	99 95%	\$10 505,250	\$9,637,042
		Summary of Rate Base by Kin Long Term Debt	d of investing Capital		48 00%	\$51,203,707	(\$1,561,688)	\$49,642,019			99 95%	89 85%	******	
	13	Preferred Stock	*		2 00%	\$2,133,484	(\$65.071)	\$2,064,417					\$51,179 072	349,618 136
	14	Common Equity			50 00%	\$53,337,194	(\$1,424,759)	\$51,710,437			99 95%	98 95%	\$2,132,461	\$2,067,422
	16	3. %			100 00%	\$100,874,391	(\$3,253,510)	\$103,420,073			99 95%	99 95%	\$53,311,534	\$51,645,557
		Adjusted Value Increment			100 00%	\$419,459	(\$3,223,519)	\$103,420,813					\$106 823 067	\$103,371,115
		Total Adjusted Value Rate Bar				\$107,293,850	\$0	****			100 00%	100 00%	\$619 459	
		term vedation dame use he:	••			\$107,283,850	ħu	1107,293,850					\$107,242,526	\$107,293 850
		Roban Required (Stored Gas	and CVVC)											
	19	Long Term Debi @				7.74%	0 00%	7.76%					7 76%	7 76%
	20	Preferred Stock @				£ 40%	0 00%	8 40%					6 40%	10. 00000001
	21	Common Equity @				12 00%	-1 06%	10 94%					12 00%	
	22	Total Invested Capital				8 85%	-0 53%	9 32%					9 35%	\$ 32%
	SEC	TION IV.A - REVENUES												
		Operating Revenues												

	City Gate Deliveries									24.7.00. 5.0	#343 403 4# 3	
23	Residential & Commercial	\$519,094,018	(\$25,171,739)	\$493,926,279	(\$140,824,097)	\$353,102,182				\$517,981,546	\$353,102,182 \$245,330	
24	Cempany Used	\$422,333	(123,294)	\$399 039	(\$153,709)	\$245,330				\$418,473		
25	Unaccounted for	116,347,841	(17,179,377)	\$9,168,504	(\$3,531,872)	\$5.636,832				\$9 615,030	\$5 636 432	
26	All Others	\$86,829,091	(\$2,171,068)	\$84,658,023	30	184 658,023		0 00%	0 00%	10	50	
27	Total Revenue	\$622,597,323	(\$34,545,478)	\$588,151,845	(\$144,509,476)	\$443 642,367				\$528 015 049	\$358,984,344	
SECT	TON IV.8 - EXPENSES											
	Cast of Volumes Delivered											
28	City Gale Delivenes @	\$3.21	10 00	\$3 11						33 11		1
28	Residential & Commercial	\$421,574,792	(\$16,353,621)	\$415,221,171	(\$100 614,364)	\$314 606 807		100 00%	100 00%	3415 221,171	\$314 606 807	1
30	Company Used	\$345,798	(\$10,344)	1335,454	(\$116 470)	\$218 584		100 00%	100 00%	\$335,454	\$210,584	1
31	Unaccounted For	\$14,397,414	(14,649 877)	17,707,541	(\$2,445,140)	\$4 \$22,401		100 00%	100 00%	17,707,541	14 822 401	
32	All Others	\$69,558,252	(12,152,660)	\$67,405,592	10	167,405,592		0.00%	0.00%	10	\$0	
33	Total City Gate Deliveries	1515,878,260	(\$25,206,502)	\$490,569,758	(\$103 616,374)	\$387 053,384				1473 264,166	\$319 647,792	•
34	Gas Cost Over Recovery Offset			\$0	\$0	10	ALL ALL VOLUMETRIC VOLUMETRIC	0 00%	0.00%	\$0	\$0	
35	Other O & M Expenses											
36	Labor - Fixed	\$1,621,064	\$48,632	\$1,669,696	(\$186,114)	\$1,483 582		85 52%	85 52%	\$1,444 621	\$1,283,595	
37	Supplies & Expenses - Fixed	\$1,336,093	\$40,083	\$1,376,174	(\$40,043)	\$1,336.091		86 52%	66 52%	\$1,190.866	\$1,155,986	
34	Supplies & Expenses - Variable	\$0	10	\$0	\$0	10				50	\$0	
39	Fee to LSP for CGS Deliveries	\$71,343,343	(\$1,594,816)	148,744,527	(\$69,744,527)	10		100 00%	100 00%	\$72,231,119	\$0	
40	Fee to LSP for CGS Storage	\$17,270,839	(\$18,408)	817,252,431	(\$17,252,431)	\$0		86 44%	86 44%	\$14.913,747	\$0	
41	Taxes Other Than Incorne Taxes Property Related and Other Taxes	\$881,736	\$0	\$881,736	\$ 0	\$681,736		88 52%	86 52%	\$762 678	\$762 6 78	
42	Psyroll Related Tazes	\$102,943	38,261	\$111,224	(\$13,213)	\$96.011		86 52%	86 52%	196 231	184,799	
43	Revenue Related Taxes	191,034	(\$38,609)	152,425		5,5715,535				188 030	300 618	
			,,	,						,,,,,,,	350 615	
44	Total Operating Expenses Balore FIT	\$504,523,330	(\$26,765,359)	\$581,757,871	(\$190,652,742)	\$390,852,804				\$513 991,450	\$323 015 668	
	Net Operating Income Before FIT	\$14,173,993	(\$7,760,119)	\$0,393,874	\$40,343,264	\$52,789,583				\$14 023,591	\$35,964.676	
44	Federal Income Taxes									\$3 518,233	\$3,116 940	
47	breested Capital		\$105,674,391	\$106,674,381	(\$3,253,518)	\$103,420,473		100 00%	100 00%	\$106 623 068	\$103,420 673	
48	Percent Return on Invested Capital			9 85%	-0 53%	9 37%	•			9 15%	9 32%	
49	Het Operating Income/Return			\$10,507,428	\$17,244	\$9 634 625				\$10 505,35 8	\$9 638 825	
50	Revenue Requirement (excluding gas costs & fees)			\$18,901,302	\$45,350,508	\$62,478,389				\$17 606 017	\$16,123 642	
SEC	TION VII - THROUGHPUT & RATE DESIGN											
	Volumes Delivered - Mcf											
	City Gale Deliveries											
51	Residential & Commercial	134,618,019	(1,107,288)	\$130,511,631	0	133,511,631		100 00%	100 00%	\$133 511 631	\$100,511 401	
-52	Company Used	107,063	0	\$107,603	(15,101)	97,742		100 00%	100 00%	\$107,663	192,762	
53	Unaccounted For	4,490,913	{2,012,604}	\$2,478,309	(348,964)	2,131,345		100 00%	100 00%	\$2,478,309	\$2,131,345	
. ' \$4	AR Others	21,496,950	(23,126)	821,673,024	362,065	22,035,849		0 00%	0 00%	\$0	\$0	
55	Total Volume Defivered	160,914,645	(3,143,018)	157,771,827	٥	157,771,627				138,097,803	138,097,803	

Reia Design Caty Gale Revenue Requierment (less gas revenue) Caty Gasa Dalvenes 8 2 3

Margin Requirement per Mot

\$16,123,642 136,097,403 \$0.1185

\$17,608,017 136,087,403 \$0,1294

HAT GAS COSTS RECOMPRED AS PROVIDED IN THE PROHICE OF PACTICONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDERING PARACEAUNA

SCHEDULE VII. – SECOND ORDER ON REHEARING HUNC PRO TUNC

GUD 814 LONE STAR GAS COMPANY STATEMENT OF WITENT RATE CASE EXPENSES

ALIGNED CITIES EXPENSES

								,						
										COMMISSION	CCAMASSION	COMMISSION		
3	2	AFOUESTRO	AGOUETED REGU	AEQuestro	DAGUCH MAN TO A EQUAL STORY	MOMENTO	AFOUESTED	AFOUESTE	COMMENCE	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	ESTRACTED	COLAMISSION	
ğ	1		HONE	1014	HOM	TOTAL	ESTAMTO	1014	AAR	HOURS	1014	EXPENSE	1014	SCHACE MOTES
1		3	z	I	I	ī	=	3	z	*		ã	•	1
ATTORNETS	,													
144	LANGUEGE OF MISORIE													
	ATTONINEY IF FEEB													
_	Monte	8 2 =	T.	8 22 8	A	11 24 8			99 00.00	E CE	B #173			
	THE PARTY.	80 Q2 13	Ā	27 PE 20	20.02	2 22 22			900	\$	09 (13 94)			
•	TOTAL ATTORNETS FIES			F		E38 823 85	Ø (1925	20 110 20			200 000 000	ž		ESTAMPLED COSTS SEVERED MAN
d				,										
	1014			1 5		*0304	5	# C# #01			10.5% 2010	: 1	\$100 M2 01	ESTANTED COSTS SEVERED BAS
5	MUTLER PORTER CAY & CAY													
	ATTONUETS FFEES													
-	GEOFFIET GAY	8 # #	ä	1	R	8 00 Oct			8 2 2	**	06 24 974			
_	STEVE PORTER	8 2 2	8	E 01.0	A	4 4 4			8 22	# 2	20 316 25			
•	LEGAL ASSUSTANT	8	3	2	8	8			8	3	00 0014			
•	TOTAL APTORNEYS FEES			40.004 M		2 2 2	114.114.00	1:00 400 11			K	4		ESTMANTED COLTS SEVENED MAT
1				3 24 3		N Ave Co	8 30	200			0 800 81	i		The Cartie Contract of Cartie
												1		
=	¥ia					1						ž	·	ESTABLISHED COSTS AND MAN
1010	OF AND CONTRACT OF STREET AND													
	ATTOMMETE FEEE													
c	CALENTH TOWNSON	3 3	8	\$54,630 G	g va	BAD, 382 09			8	OK 258	3114 31400			ESTALLIED COSTS SEVERED SAU
2	CHERTY HAVE	23.00	2	84 CTT, 843	*	M 637 M			8 2 2	20	\$16.318.00			
=	GEORGIA IL CHAM	8 912	ţ	256,410.00	28.83	200			20 03:1	3	3 3 3			
z	ALCHARD L HAMMA	8	Ā	8	8	9			8 2	8	8			
7	C. ACE PRESUME	200	:	# CHT	8 1	13,40 B			9110 00	91 34	63 715 80			
=	CACH M BRCH	8	Ř.	1	8	8 2			2	8	9			
7	¥	8	:	8	8	1			8	9	3			
= ;	Ž i	8	R		8 I				1	3	8			
R :	1								1	*				
4 5	3 0	8 8				1 20 3			2 5		2 3			
1 5	1 3	8 82		3	. 8	8			1 8		3			
	TOTAL ATTOMBETS FEED	į		80 80% CQ 14	!	8000	8 (21.53	8 801 1753	l	:	2 2 2 2 2	1		the display they display at
×	torinsts.			11414		11 12 12	2 2 2	2000			17 X2 &	*		filescilla codit seveneo seat
*	FOTAL			-		B 10, 21	1	2 47 871			127 4.8 40	4		Estmantip costs sevento and
101	27 TOTALATTONARYS			K CONTENT		AND	20 600 000	20 FT 440			2 2 2 2 2	**	1 2 5 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	EXIMATED COXTS MYERED SAIT
CONSULTANTS	TAMTS													
£	CHARACTED UTLITY CONSIL TANTI													
	CONSULTANTE PEED													
*	MCK POUR	8 %	*	610,000,000	2	M 201'110			20 M	8	H3 256 83			
*	DAM LAWTON	8 # 1	1	1040	8	N MA			8 22	ž S	27.617.30			
я :	ICH SAARTH	8	* :	2 1	3 !	1			8	8 :	8 2 1			
x i	MARA COLEMA	8	1	8 1	8	8 8	8	3	8 8 8	3 ≈	8 2 2	3		
R	MIN COMME ING FEED			l		-	1				1 6 5	*		ESTALATED COSTS SEVERED MAY

PAGE LOF 2

	176,007			7700		r n	2	2 2 2			2 2 2	1) ;	ELIMATED COSTS SEVENED BA-7	
~	£101			754		44 457 75	2 2 1	*****			W 141 74	ŕ	E 252 97.8	III THE COLIS HIVERED BAS	
	LICTRA ALLOCARTA, MC														
5	MCTARD GALLOAN	894	3	3116	3	8			9	8	90 000 \$1				
	ANDR & MERZYA	80 00-12	3.02	\$31,860 m	8 :				8 9 2	S.	80 00 m				
	THOMAS & CATLER	8	į		8	5 2			8 9 1	3	00 CT				
	BOATTH & PALENGALM	8 001	:	8 9	:	8			8 8 5	3	8 93				
	MAY C SMOTAS	8	*	1	8	8		-	8 3	8	3 2				
=	TOTAL CONSULTANTS FEES			# 100 CM		2000	8	3 1			3 5	1		ESTMATED COSTS SEVERED SEAT	
				-		1	1				***************************************	į			
5 :	COLUMN TO THE PARTY OF THE PART	٧,				1 2	1 5	:				: i		A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	
101						20.00	8 1	22 22 21			113 14 12	1 1	23 226 22	ESTANATED COSTS SEVERED BALT	
8	JOHN WELSON & ASSOCIATES, INC.														
8	CONSIL LANTS FIRE							_							
	JOHN WE BOR	8	S P	2 × 7 × 2	8	8		_	8 98	5	20 70 80				
	NOMEN SMECUM	8	£	2	8	8			9	8	111 120 20				
	MODER MODRIGARZ	8	8	# gg ;;	8	8		000000000000000000000000000000000000000	8	8	8				
5	total computant feet	×		88.02		2 3 3	8	3			22 454 25	ž		ESTAMITED COSTS SEVERED BAS	
- 5	ELMENTER			H.23.4		8 9	8	11 213 40			91349	ž		ESTMATED COSTS SIVERED BEAT	
- 7	1014			*****		£ 100 51	8	2 8 1 7			51 073 151	į	** 92 * 757	ESTMATED COSTS SEVENSO MAT	
	COSTA TANT FEE														
	SEANCHCHE LAG	2001	n		2	11,375 00			210012	8	8 8				
=	TOTAL CONDULTART FEES			8 10 1		15.37 00	8 21 15	8 2 3			90 93+ /3	**		ESTALATED COSTS SEVERED MAT	
Ε,	property			M 903		2	ŝ	* (07.1			1310 64	ž		ENIMATE COSTS HVENED AND	
Ē	юты			# 212 #		04 CPC 14	41, 514, 14	2 CT 2			9 110 ct	ž	17 616 65	ESTAMILED COSTS SEVERED MAT	
7	REED-810ME & CO.														
7	COMPAS TANTO FEET							-							
	JACK STOWE	***		M1,745.00	30.	* (17.01			8	3	31.28 82.15				
	COMMITTED TO	8 91	27.811	# #29 EH	5	8			8	200	25.53.25				
	YATH BOAFOR	8 8 4	8	## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##	3	118 308 08		- 12	80 #11	8	2 2 2				
	Davy 0	8 11 11	1	2	8	8			8 * 1	8 ~	00 941				
	G. BODLE	8 92	# 2.	27.24	:	8		-	9 91	2	27.20				
	M GAAGESON	8	8	23 0423	\$	80 0003			8 91	8	837				
	CLENCA ASSETANT	8 57	9 11		8 =	8 27			8 51	3	8 7 H				
8	TOTAL CONSULTANTE PERS			45 MT, MT.		M4.510.30	9 000 010	E 201 110			1119 245 74	4,4		ESTMATEG COSTS SEVENED MAT	
5	(MRH)	,		13 KG M		E 500 21	A 50 M	E NO M			OF NO EIS	ž		ESTEMATED COSTS SEVERED MAS	
=	101AL			11 500 111		#4.40 75	27 848 CIT	T 22 22			22.00 20.50	ž	22 24 25	ESTWATED COSTS SEVERED BAST	
- 3	O CHARLOM I MOCHOO HEAD CHOMPAN														
8	COMBA TARTE FEED							- 1							
	HOMENN BONDON	8	200	-	#	302.1			\$200 0023	ē	120 200 00				
à	total combaltants pres			*******		M 740 ca	8	8			00 0MC 00.8	ž		ESTMATED COSTS SEVERED BALL	
				;		ļ	į	1				2		TOTAL CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	
1 6	TOTAL	÷		11 (202)		2 2 2	8	2 a a a			2 2 2 2 2	žž	20 50 50 50	ESTANTED COSTS SEVERED BAST	
₹.	m Tota Committants			E ST. ING		2004-0018	1	8			2 3 3 7	1	2 17 27	THE COMPANY OF THE PARTY OF THE	
												!			
¥	# TOTAL ATTORNETS AND CONSIGLANTS			\$614,872.24		15 801/1000	R 69 M	10 ent,710 et			E OPE DE	1	22 DAY 21.51	ESTIMATED COURS SEVERED BINZ	

SCHEDELE WELK - RECOND ONDER DA NEVELANNE MANC PRO TUNC

SALD MAN SALD COMPANY STATEMENT OF BITLET MATE CANE CONFESSOR

COME STAR BAS COMPANT EXPENSES

								COLUMN S LICH	CCARASTRON	COMMISSION		
			AEGLESTED	AFOLESTED	AEGUESTED	101AL	COMMITTON	APPROVED	APPROVED	APROVED	COMMANSON	
ž		ACCUENTS	ACTUM	ACTUA	ESTANTO	ACTUM 1	MARONED	ACTIVA	ACTUM.	ESTAMATEO	APPROVED	
2	THE STATE OF THE S	MAR	HONES	TOTAL	COTHU	CEPANTO	117	HONE	1014	EAPERSE.	1014	SOURCEMOTER
1				1	1	1	•	1		,	1	
		ī	t	I	t	Ē	:		Z	S	•	ĩ
ATTOMETE												
3	CLARK DICHAS & VINTERS											
	ATTOMMENT FEES											
•	WALLER DEMOND	890	2	87878			248	3	8785			•
~	MATTER S SPECT	* 161	*	\$124 679 SE			812	R I	\$134 8.78 36			
•	CASET WARM	•	8	86.0			2112	8	8 6			
٠	DAVID DUGGHS	8 800	2	10, 108 GR			8007	3	2000			
	DAM RENNER	80 0003	* ::	8000			8077	3	12 140 00			
•	PPR GALER	2 to 0	8	216:			20 00 00	g +	07400			
~	PARA RIAZ	8 E =	*	Sect 10			8 213	3	24 125			
•	STORT WELL WAS	8 7 2	R	\$ 17.7H			822	œ R	04 tox 234			
	SUPPORT STAF											
•	ï	8	R	210 ACT (10			8	8	20 CM 818			
2	ş	\$ E		# #Z %1			8 2.	3.00	\$13 756 00			
=	9	*	8	11. per 22			8 2 2	8	-			
2	ş	***	R	B D4 80			8 * =	Ą	138408			
2	Ş			*			800	3 2	8			
ĭ	3	8	2	20 20 20			8 92	1	40 E/11			
=	****	1 1 1	:	# PO'18			B 914	9	S 02 4.13			
1	¥	日本に	#	\$2,612.56			日本に	2	3 513 55			
=	3	80 BOCS	•	# O#C			8 007	9	95 OBC3			
=	ğ	8	Ř	23. ACM (52.			8	g â	\$37 608 00			
F	ξ.	8	2	\$12 MC 24			8 2	2 =	11234210			
*	ij	1	2	9 2 2			200	2	2010			
A	2	8 1	8				8	8 2	8			
Ħ	TOTAL ATTOMMEYER FEES			\$384,316.50	20 OC				03 914 902	ž		ESTALATED COXTE SEVENED BAST
				41 001 002						i		200
: 2	TOTAL			Date and	170 CO	100000			[Par 4:1 8 8)	1	1345 414 67	ESTANTED COSTS SEVENED SALE
CONTACTACTS	, with											
\$	BROWN, WILLIAMS, SCARSKOUGH & GLIBBE											
	CONNECTANT PEER											
R	BARBAR CHCMYAR	1	8	# 21 KG			8 2 4	2 50	57 150 E			
Ħ	TOTA CONSATANTE FEES			**	8 000 11				2 31 23	***		EXTRACTED COSTS SEVERED 04-7
•					1							
; ;	100					1				i		WENT AND ARE
•					1	i				*	2 22	ESTANTED COSTS SEVERED BALL
8	DELORTE & TOUCHE, ILLP											
	COMPLETANTE PERS											
A	BETTANCO IN LETTON	* 1.11	1	\$10,250 MB			8 20	2 8	\$50 250 08			
*	DON ROFF	8 762	8 92	178,478 GB			2000	3 2 8	370 478 60			
=	KNAM B. INCOLETEAN		2 ::	2 47.83			80	\$	3 00 8			
Ħ	ANDIGA WATTE		3	# 110 THE			8	1 3	9 73 14			
a	HECHETARY	2	8	i			3	8	ī			
x :	BECAETAAT	1	2	1			9	5	9			A
*	TOTAL CONTACTANTE FEES			2 4 4	2 20 21				2 4 2	ž		ESTANTED COSTS SEVERED MAY

-
8
•
8
₹
_

(1) MAYEO CONTESTIVATED MAY	ESTMATED COSTS SEVERED MAT			ESTMATEGESSIS SEVENCO MATE ESTMATEGESSIS SEVENCO MATE ESTMATEGESSIS SEVENCO MATE
23.50	M28 834 27			H 75 55 W W 15 59 W W 15 5
ž	1 1			V V V V
000041 00041 00041 00041 00041 00041 00041 00041	42 MONE	X 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
8 # 2 8				8 9 8 8 8
25 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3				8 8 7 8 8 9 6 5 8 8
80 MK CI	1.00.31.0			4 11/CH
3	8 8 5			88 88 88 80 91
E S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	E		4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	
!!!!				д я
# # # # # # # #				# # # #
PRESE HORE FOR THE PRESENCE OF	AR TOTAL COMMETANTS ARE TOTAL ATTOMOFYS AND COMMETANTS	COME STAR EMPTOR TONOUR EXPENSES H DAVID BETORS H DAVID BETORS H DOST BATTOR H DOST BATTOR H DOST BATTOR H DAVID HALLO H DAVID HALLO	TOWN LEE 10 LINEY TO LINE	118 CAWAE I VARROCAHO 211 119 YORA MOCAHO 211 119 YORA WOODE 211 119 YORA WOO
		_ ~		E 4

MA INDIER EITMATEOCOLISERIYARE MAT	MA 171 724 SA (STIMMTED COSTESSIVENCED MAN)	WA 1311 647 33 ESTRACTED COSTS SEVERED 6647	MA. BI, NO EM ESTRACTED COSTS SEVERED MAIL
C 111 81	01 PC CC	27 (49) 1123	R POD DITH
		6	E 88 H. H. 88 E
		1	2
Electric Tr	# H2W	EN1 667 23 514 000 M	MARKET BOOK OF SE STANSON ST. MARKET

• • •

Q.U.D. 8664