IN THE MATTER OF the

Public Utilities Act, RSNL 1990, Chapter P-47 (the Act) as amended; and

IN THE MATTER OF a general rate Application (the "Application") by Newfoundland Power Inc. ("Newfoundland Power") to establish Customer electricity rates for 2013 and 2014.

Requests for Information by The Consumer Advocate

CA-NP-511 to CA-NP-529

October 17, 2012

1	CA-NP-511	Load Forecasting
2		In Grant Thornton's 2009 Annual Financial Review, it observes as follows
3		at p. 22, lines 8-13:
4		
5 6 7 8 9 9 10 11 12 13		"As can be seen from the above tables, actual revenue from rates increased by \$5,198,000 (1.04%) form the 2009 Plan, primarily due to an increase in the average use of electricity by customers as there was a 1.04% increase in GWh sold in 2009 compared to Plan for 2009. The largest variance can be seen in the residential rate class where actual revenues and energy sales increased by \$5,955,000 (1.96%) and 68.1 GWh (2.17%) respectively. This overall increase is attributable to an increase in the customer connections for the year with 3,962 connections being budgeted and 5,051 connections actually being completed."
15		Please explain the reason why the forecast for new residential customer
16		connections (3,962) for 2009 was at variance with actual (5,051).
17		
18	CA-NP-512	Load Forecasting
19		In Grant Thornton's 2010 Annual Financial Review, it observes as follows
20		at p. 22, lines 8-12 as follows:
2.1		

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8		increased primarily d as there w 2010 Test class wher	be seen from the above tables, actual revelops \$6,551,000 (1.24%) compared to the 2 tue to an increase in the average use of electric vas a 1.29% increase in GWh sold in 2010 of Year. The largest variance can be seen in the reactual revenues and energy sales increased and 76.3 GWh (2.36%) respectively."	2010 Test Year, sity by customers compared to the e residential rate
9		Please exp	olain the reasons for the variance in the residen	itial rate class
10		referred to	above.	
11				
12	CA-NP-513	Load Fore	cast – Volume II, Tab 4 – Appendix "D"	
13		In 2011, it	shows that forecast sales were 5480.0 GWh ar	nd weather
14		adjusted a	nctual sales were 5552.9 GWh, a 72.8 GWh or 1	I.3% variance.
15		Please exp	plain the reasons for this variance.	
16				
17	CA-NP-514	Load Fore	cast – Volume II, Tab 4 – p. 5	
18		Reference	is made to the fact that the Conference Board	of Canada and
19		Canadian	Mortgage and Housing forecasts housing starts	s as follows in
20		2012, 201	3 and 2014:	
21				
22			Conference Board of Canada	<u>CMHC</u>
23		2012	3162	3200
24		2013	2801	3000
25		2014	2710	2800
26				
27		Please pro	ovide a Table back to 2003 comparing actual ho	ousing starts as
28		compared	to the forecasts of the Conference Board of Ca	anada and
29		CMHC use	ed by Newfoundland Power to forecast sales fo	r each year.
30				
31	CA-NP-515	Load Fore	ecast – Volume II, Tab 4 – p. 5	
32		Further to	the previous question, please indicate which of	f the forecasters
33		has been	more accurate in forecasting housing starts.	
34				
35	CA-NP-516	Load Fore	ecast – Attachment "A"	

1		In the Conference Board of Canada Provincial Outlook Winter 2012
2		Economic Forecast dated February 2, 2012 at p. 5 – see Housing Starts
3		(Units) – at the bottom it lists "Sources: The Conference Board of
4		Canada; CMHC Housing Time Series Database." Can Newfoundland
5		Power explain how the Conference Board of Canada utilizes the CMHC
6		Housing Time Series Database to provide its Housing Starts forecast?
7		
8	CA-NP-517	When does Newfoundland Power expect to review its Load Forecast in
9		the GRA and when it does so, how current will the information be for both
10		the Conference Board of Canada and CMHC?
11		
12	CA-NP-518	Where in the materials filed with the Load Forecast is the CMHC's
13		housing start estimate for 2013 and 2014?
14		
15	CA-NP-519	At p. 5 of its Load Forecast, Newfoundland Power states, "Using
16		proposed rates the average use of energy is forecast to increase by 0.4%
17		in 2012, remain unchanged in 2013 and decrease by 0.6% in 2014."
18		Why is the average use of energy expected to decrease in 2014 and how
19		accurate have Newfoundland Power's previous studies in relation to
20		forecasting average use of energy been when forecasting the same
21		beyond the next year?
22		
23	CA-NP-520	Please provide a copy of all quarterly and annual reports filed with the
24		Board as part of Newfoundland Power's normal reporting requirements
25		from 2009 to present.
26		
27	CA-NP-521	For the record, please file a copy of Grant Thornton's Annual Financial
28		Review of Newfoundland Power for the years 2009 to present
29		
30	CA-NP-522	Please provide a copy of the annual reports of Fortis Inc. from 2009 to
31		present.
32		
33	CA-NP-523	In Fortis Inc.'s 2011 Annual report at p. 12, it states,
34		

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		"Fortis has adopted a strategy of profitable growth with earnings per common share as the primary measure of performance. Over the past 10 years, earnings per common share of Fortis have grown at a compounded annual growth rate of 6.9%. Fortis delivered an average return to shareholders of approximately 15% over the past 10 years, exceeding the Standard and Poor's ("S&P") Toronto Stock Exchange ("TSX") Capped Utilities and S&P/TSX Composite Indices, which delivered annualized performances of approximately 11% and 7% respectively over the same period."
11		Over the same time period what has been the compound annual growth
12 13		rate per common share in Newfoundland Power?
14 15	CA-NP-524	In Fortis Inc.'s 2011 Annual Report it states at p. 12,
16 17 18 19 20		"The Corporation's first priority remains the continued profitable expansion of existing operations. Consolidated midyear regulated utility rate base of Fortis grew at a compound annual growth rate of 6.1% for 2007 to 2011."
21		Over the same time period, what has been the compound annual growth
22		rate of Newfoundland Power's rate base?
23		
24	CA-NP-525	Please reproduce the table at p. 15 of Fortis Inc.'s 2011 Annual Report
25		showing the Regulator-Approved Allowed ROEs for FEI (formerly
26		Terasen Gas), Fortis Alberta, Fortis BC Electric and Newfoundland Power
27		for 2008 to 2012.
28		
29	CA-NP-526	Please confirm that Fortis Inc. reports at p. 17 of its 2011 Annual Report
30		that its Return on Average Book Common Shareholders' Equity was 8.9%
31		in 2011 and 8.8% in 2010 and that Fortis' earnings in 2011 included an
32		\$11,000,000 after-tax fee paid to Fortis following the termination of a
33		merger agreement with Central Vermont Public Service Corporation.
34		
35	CA-NP-527	Please confirm that Newfoundland Power's shareholder, Fortis Inc., in its
36		2011 Annual Report, cited as favourable factors contributing to electricity
37		sales, the following:
38		

Growth in the number of customers 1 2 Higher average consumption, reflecting the higher concentration 3 of electric-versus-oil heating in new home construction combined with strong economic growth 4 5 6 CA-NP-528 Fortis Inc.'s 2011 Annual report at p. 30 provides the Allowed Common Equity % for the various Fortis utilities in Canada. It demonstrates that 7 Newfoundland Power has the highest allowed common equity at 45% 8 while all other Canadian utilities owned by Fortis Inc. have ratios of 40% 9 10 with the exception of Fortis Alberta at 41%. Please provide the current allowed percentages of common equity for each of Fortis Inc.'s Canadian 11 12 utilities. 13 CA-NP-529 In Fortis Inc.'s 2011 Annual Report, it states at p. 39: 14 15 "In June of 2011 Fortis publicly issued 9.1 million common shares for 16 gross proceeds of approximately \$300 million. In July 2011 an additional 17 1.2 million common shares were publicly issued upon the exercise of an 18 over-allotment option, resulting in gross proceeds of approximately \$41 19 million. The total net proceeds of \$327 million from the common share 20 offering were used to repay borrowings under credit facilities and finance 21 equity injections into the regulated utilities in western Canada and the 22 non-regulated Waneta Expansion, in support of infrastructure investment, 23 and for general corporate purposes. 24 25 Fortis also received proceeds of \$18 million in 2011 and \$22 million in 26 2011, net of dividends reinvested into common shares, related to 27 common shares issued under its stock option and share purchase plans. 28 29 In January 2010 Fortis completed a \$250 million public offering of 10 30 million First Preference Shares, Series H. The net proceeds of 31 approximately \$242 million were used to repay borrowings under the 32 Corporation's committed credit facility and to fund an equity injection into 33 34 FEI. 35 Common share dividends paid in 2011 totalled \$151 million, net of \$59 36 million in dividends reinvested, compared to \$135 million, net of \$58 37 million in dividends reinvested, paid in 2010. The increase in dividends 38 paid was due to a higher annual dividend paid per common share and an 39 increase in the number of common shares outstanding. The dividend 40 paid per common share was \$1.16 in 2011 compared to \$1.22 in 2010. 41 The weighted average number of common shares outstanding was 181.6 42 million for 2011 compared to 172.9 million for 2010." 43

1	
2	What further public issuances and offerings has been made by Fortis
3	since these events occurred?
4	
5	

Dated at St. John's in the Province of Newfoundland and Labrador, this 17th day of October, 2012.

Thomas Johnson
Consumer Advocate
323 Duckworth Street
St. John's, NL A1C 5X4

Telephone: (709)726-3524 Facsimile: (709)726-9600 Email: tjohnson@odeaearle.ca

clf:\oe\consumer advocate\12-j-078 5th filing.rtf