Q. Re: Hydro Plant Production Increase, Schedule B, Pages 4, 5 and 6 of 93

Newfoundland Power outlines at pages 4 and 5 the costs of the new runners for New Chelsea (\$653,000.00) and Pittmans Pond (\$475,000.00). Newfoundland Power also outlines the efficiency increases for both. New Chelsea is forecast to have a best efficiency increase of 6% and a maximum load efficiency of 2% compared to Pittmans at 16% and 18% respectively. Does Newfoundland Power have an efficiency increase it considers to be justifiable when proposing these projects? Is there an industry standard and if so, what is it?

 A. Newfoundland Power does not have a specific efficiency increase that it uses to justify a runner replacement. Also there is no industry standard for justifying runner replacements based on the efficiency increase from a turbine runner replacement. Runner replacements are normally justified for one or both of the following reasons:

1. *Condition* – If an engineering condition assessment report indicates a runner is in poor physical condition then a runner may be replaced. For example, in 2008 the runner at Heart's Content plant was replaced due to wear on the runner which eroded the runner blades and caused holes to form in the metal (reference NP 2008 Capital Budget).

2. *Production Increase* – If testing or modeling shows that improved runner efficiency will result in a production increase the cost effectiveness of the runner upgrade will be evaluated. The cost effectiveness of a runner replacement is primarily based on the amount of production increase, the cost of the runner upgrade, and the costs avoided by the production increase. Currently, a hydro plant production increase will avoid producing energy from the oil fired Holyrood Generating Plant.

The New Chelsea and Pitmans Pond runner replacements are justified based on production increases. The levelized costs of the runner replacements are shown in the Response to the *Request for Information* PUB NP 004.