Q. Labrador City Terminal Stations: Please: (a) describe the approach Hydro used to 1 2 manage this project, (b) show the project management organization, positions of 3 responsibility and accountably, (c) identify progress reporting procedures, (d) provide examples of project reports used, (e) describe the nature of, timing of, and 4 5 response to the principal cost and schedule affecting issues, (f) explain any changes 6 in Hydro's project management methods occurring during and after this project, 7 and (g) describe any project management "lessons learned" actions taken after from this project. 8 9 10 11 Α. (a) Hydro's approach to managing this project included the creation of a project 12 team in mid-2009. The project team was responsible for the execution and 13 management of the project. 14 15 (b) The project team established in mid-2009 consisted of the following: 16 A project manager; 17 A station design electrical engineer; 18 A telecommunications design telecontrol engineer; 19 A protection and control design engineer; 20 A system operations electrical engineer; 21 A distribution planning electrical engineer; 22 A properties coordination and procurement representative; 23 A customer services representative; 24 A customer communications representative; 25 A representative from operations responsible for Labrador West; and 26 A representative from Operations in Churchill Falls.

Page 2 of 5

1	All team members provided input under the direction of the project manager. The
2	project manager reported to the Electrical Engineering Manager.
3	
4	In January of 2011, there was an internal restructuring of the Engineering Services
5	division into Project Execution and Technical Services. The project manager then
6	reported to the Program Manager for Regulated Projects.
7	
8	(c) Progress on the project was reported internally within Hydro and to the Board.
9	i. Internal progress reporting. Monthly reports were provided in a summary
10	fashion by the project manager to the Electrical Engineering Manager.
11	Following the internal restructuring in January 2011, these reports were
12	provided to the Program Manager for Regulated Projects.
13	ii. Regulatory reporting. Hydro provided summary reporting to the Board on
14	the status of the project schedule and budget as part of the Capital Budget
15	application process (generally filed in August of each year) and through the
16	filing of a Capital Expenditure and Carryover Report, filed at the beginning of
17	March of each year. On the overages experienced in this project, Hydro
18	reported the following to the Board:
19	
20	• 2012 Capital Budget Application (August 2, 2011). On August 3, 2011,
21	as part of its 2012 Capital Budget application, Hydro advised that the
22	project had been renamed "Upgrade Terminal Station to 25 kV –
23	Labrador City" and had been extended into 2012. At the point of that

24

25

26

27

filing, no revision to the budget was filed for the project. However, in its

change to the project schedule or budget, project tenders are currently

application, Hydro advised: "[w]hile there has been no confirmed

coming in above budget, and there is some difficulty obtaining any

	Page 3 of 5
1	tenders for certain pieces of work." ¹
2	
3	 2012 Capital Budget Application (October 12, 2011). On October 12,
4	2011, Hydro filed a revision to its 2012 Capital Budget Application
5	advising that the project budget had grown to \$12,650,000 (a difference
6	of \$2,660,000 from the original budget). Under Order No. P.U. 2(2012),
7	the Board approved the revised budget of \$12,650,000 for the project.
8	
9	 2013 Capital Budget Application (August 8, 2012). As part of part of its
10	2013 Capital Budget Application (filed August 8, 2012), Hydro reported
11	on expenditures (forecast) on the project of \$12,650,000.
12	
13	• 2012 Capital Expenditure and Carryover Report (March 1, 2013). In its
14	Capital Expenditures and Carryover Report, December 31, 2012, filed
15	with the Board on March 1, 2013, Hydro reported that as of December
16	31, 2012, the project was \$2,454,800 over the amount approved per
17	Order No. P.U. 2(2012), with final project costs totaling \$15,104,800.
18	Hydro also noted that that the project schedule had been extended into
19	2013. Hydro indicated that the overages were due to the following:
20	This project was estimated in 2008 based on market conditions at
21	the time and using standard escalation indices for future year
22	expenditures. The market conditions realized in Labrador West
23	during the project execution phase have been a-typical, driven by
24	strong economic activity in the area. The outcome is an escalation
2526	beyond Hydro's anticipation in construction contracts, materials and labour.
27	labour.
28	An additional \$2.4 million is required to complete this project as a
29	result of contract pricing increases above estimated amounts for
30	contracts to project completion, use of consultants for design review,
31	commissioning cost estimates which were low compared to the

 $^{^{1}}$ Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro 2012 Capital Budget Application, Pages E-9 and E-10.

Page 4 of 5

1 actual cost to commission the specific type and size of stations 2 involved, and additional material costs. All contracts have now been 3 awarded and construction is complete. One of the two terminal stations is in service as of December 2012, and commissioning of the 4 5 remaining station is ongoing. 6 7 This project has been carried over into 2013 as a result of delays in building delivery which have resulted in a delay in completion of the 8 9 station commissioning work.² 10 2014 Capital Budget Application (August 5, 2013). As part of its 2014 11 12 Capital Budget Application (submitted on August 5, 2013), Hydro filed a 13 Capital Expenditures by Year, in which it reported requiring additional funds to complete the project. In that report, Hydro noted: 14 15 An additional \$3.1 million was required to complete this project as a 16 result of contract pricing increase above estimated amounts for 17 contracts, use of consultants for design review, commissioning cost 18 estimates which were low compared to the actual cost to 19 commission the specific type and size of stations involved, and additional material costs. Additional commissioning costs and 20 21 interest also contributed to the variance. Both terminal stations are 22 now in service. 23 2013 Capital Expenditure and Carryover Report (December 31, 2013). 24 In its Capital Expenditures and Carryover Report, December 31, 2013, 25 filed on March 3, 2014, Hydro reported that the project was now 26 \$4,190,000 over the amount approved per Order No. P.U. 2(2012), with final project costs totaling \$16,844,000.3 27 28 29 (d) Examples of internal reporting are provided as PR-PUB-NLH-040 Attachments 1 30 and 2. Regulatory reporting was provided in the form noted above.

² Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro Capital Expenditure and Carryover Report December 31, 2012, Pages 24.

³ Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro Capital Expenditure and Carryover Report December 31, 2013, Page 26.

Page 5 of 5

(e) As issues arose during the execution of the project, the Program Manager of Regulated Projects was informed of the details. If the issues warranted a project change order, the necessary forms and background information were provided for review and approvals. In addition and as noted, issues regarding schedule and budget where reported to the Board through the capital budget and annual expenditure reporting processes. (f) Following commencement of this project, internal restructuring took place within Hydro in 2011. The 2011 organizational changes established the positions of full time project managers. Prior to this time, it was common for design engineers to also function as project managers. This organizational change fostered a more consistent approach to the management of projects within the Project Execution and Technical Services team. Since 2011, the overall resourcing requirements for project managers, partly through the use of consultants, has been adjusted which has allowed the number of projects for each project manager to be decreased. There is currently a greater alignment within the Project Execution and Technical Services groups with the industry standards and guidelines for project management, including those issued by the Project Management Institute (e.g., the Project Management Body of Knowledge (PMBOK)). The Project Execution and Technical Service group are continually improving their project management and execution practices and processes by bench marking against standard PMBOK practices and processes. (g) The project management processes within Hydro are constantly being reviewed

and updated as opportunities are recognized. Please see also Hydro's response to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

PR-PUB-NLH-041.

	2011 Capital Project Status Dashboard - Terminals (Regulated TRO)																			
			Project Identification			2011 Cost			2011 Schedule											
	Priority A/B/C	Business Unit Number		Approved Total Budget	Approved 2011 Budget	Estimated Cost	Cost Risk (g/y/r)	Cost Risk Factors	Approved Start Month	Approved End Month	Planned Start Month	Planned End Month	Sched. Risk (g/y/r)	Phase 1 Phase 1 Phase 2 Phase 3 Phase	Schedule Risk Factors	Outage Equipment	Planned Outage Dates	Other Schedule Constraints	Other Notes	
Last Update: 13-April-2011																				
	А	1396 0904	Upgrade Terminal Stations to 25 kV - Labrador City	9,990,600	3,500,000	3,500,000		Contractor prices so far have been high / significant amount of contract work planned for 2011		Dec-12	Jan-11	Jun-12		complete ongoing	Telecontrol Eng resource secured				Transformers and breakers ordered / tender for building and switchgear complete / telecontrol work has begun / civil contract spec ongoing / elec const contract spec ongoing	
Subtota	- Terminal	s		\$9,990,600	\$3,500,000	\$3,500,000														

Legend: Project

Phase 1: Open/Design Transmittal/Schedule & Cash Flow

Phase 2: Design/Procurement

Phase 3: Implementation/Construction/Commissioning/Close out

capital budget (i.e. original budget plus approved change orders)

C		S	t
_	•	۰	۰

Schedule

Yellow

expected cost at completion is +/-10% of the revised capital budget (i.e. original budget plus approved change orders) expected cost at completion is between -10% and -20% or 10% and 20% of the expected project in-service date is within 3 months of the revised capital budget (i.e. original budget plus approved change orders) expected cost at completion is less than -20% or more than 20% of the revised expected project in-service date is greater than 3 months

expected project in-service date is on or before original project completion date

original project completion date

from the original project completion date

	2011 Capital Project Status Dashboard - Terminals (Regulated TRO)																							
Project Identification 2011 Cost							Cost	ast				2011 Schedule												
Pro	ject Pric	ority Business	s Unit Project Title	Approved	Approved 2011 Budget	Final Forecast Cost	Cost Risk (g/y/r)	Cost Risk Factors	Approved Start	Approved End Month	Planned Start	Planned End	Sched. Risk (g/y/r)	se 1	Project Phase	Se 3	Schedule Risk Factors	Outage Equipment	Planned Outage Dates	Other Schedule Constraints	Other Notes			
		: 29-Aug-2011		Junger	Judget				Month		Month	Month		Phas	Pha	Pha			Tange butes					
8	0 /	A 1396 0	Upgrade Terminal Stations to 25 kV - Labrador City	9,990,600	3,500,000	12,875,830		Change order required / Contract and material costs exceed estimates / significant amount of contract work planned for 2011	Jun-09	Dec-12	Jan-11	Dec-12		complete	ongoing		Telecontrol Eng resource secured for fiberoptic link / resource(s) required for telecontrol design and commissioning				Transformers and breakers ordered / tender for building and switchgear awaiting change order / fibreoptic link tender ready for award / civil contract ready for award / elec const contract ready for award / Planned end month changed to Dec 2012			
Subtotal - Terminals				\$9,990,600	\$3,500,000	\$12,875,830																		
Pro	Project Status Summary																							