

1 Q. (Re: 2015 Interim Rates Application Evidence) Please provide a table comparing
2 Hydro's 2015 revenue, net income and return on rate base assuming Board
3 approval of interim rates under the following scenarios: 1) as proposed in the 2015
4 Interim Rates Application with rates effective January 1, 2015; 2) as proposed in the
5 2015 Interim Rates Application with rates effective March 1, 2015; 3) assuming
6 rates effective January 1, 2015 based on the current Board-approved rate of return;
7 4) assuming rates effective January 1, 2015 with all costs that have been identified
8 by the Board as being subject to prudence review removed from the revenue
9 requirement; and 5) assuming rates effective January 1, 2015 with 50% of all costs
10 that have been identified by the Board as being subject to prudence review
11 removed from the revenue requirement.

12

13

14 A. Please see the following table. In providing this response, Hydro revised revenue
15 from energy sales, net income, and percentage return on rate base based on the
16 scenarios identified above.

17

\$000s	Part 1	Part 2	Part 3	Part 4 ¹	Part 5 ¹
<i>Rates Effective</i>	<i>January 1, 2015</i>	<i>March 1, 2015</i>	<i>January 1, 2015</i>	<i>January 1, 2015</i>	<i>January 1, 2015</i>
<i>Scenario</i>	<i>Proposed interim rates</i>	<i>Proposed interim rates</i>	<i>4.47% ROE</i>	<i>100% of 2015 prudence costs removed</i>	<i>50% of prudence costs removed</i>
Revenue from Energy Sales	583,911	570,261	566,687	575,901	579,611
Net Income	33,911	14,026	16,687	21,211	27,266
Return on Rate Base %	6.85%	5.75%	5.90%	6.67%	6.75%

¹ For Parts 4 and 5 of the table, only those costs identified in the prudence review for 2015 have been removed. For items classified as capital, opening and closing average rate base have been adjusted.