

1 Q. **2013 General Rate Application, Rural Rates**

2 Page 3.23, footnote 14 - Provide the details by function of the \$39 million in capital
3 spent in the Labrador Interconnected System.

4

5

6 A. Please note that the change in spending from 2007 to 2013 is \$41.7 million and not
7 \$39.0 million. The \$39.0 million included the gross additions for 2013 for Muskrat
8 Falls Construction Power of \$6.1 million, rather than the average cost of \$3.1
9 million. There are no COS impacts because the Muskrat Falls Construction Power is
10 fully contributed. The details by function of the capital spent in the Labrador
11 Interconnected System from 2007 to 2013 are provided below.

Labrador Interconnected Plant in Service (\$millions)				
Function	2013 Test Year	2007 Test Year	Difference	
Production	25.9	25.9	-	
Transmission				
Distribution Level (46kV)	11.3	0.3	11.0	
Transmission Level (above 46kV)				
Muskrat Falls Construction Power ¹	3.1	-	3.1	
Other Transmission Level ⁴	23.5	21.8	1.7	
Total Transmission	37.9	22.1	15.8	
Distribution	56.3	33.2	23.1	
General ²	13.2	7.3	5.9	
Total Plant in Service ³	133.3	88.5	44.8	
Muskrat Falls Construction Power ¹	(3.1)	-	(3.1)	
Adjusted Total Plant in Service	130.2	88.5	41.7	

¹ Muskrat Falls Construction Power transmission assets are fully contributed.

² Assets not specific to a given function such as information systems, computer software, corporate office space, etc.

³ Total Plant in Service before contributions is used in the COS to allocate O&M expense across classes of service.

⁴ A portion of the costs related to these assets is assigned to IOCC.