

1 Q. Fuel savings from capital projects – since 2006 list all projects with an estimated
2 fuel savings from the capital budget process, and provide all implementation and
3 verification details available to confirm these savings were in fact achieved.

4

5

6 A. The following are projects from the capital budget process since 2006 with
7 estimated fuel savings identified.

8

9 • In 2006, the project budget proposal for Remote Operations of the Fisheries
10 By-Pass Valve at Granite Canal estimated the recovery of lost hydroelectric
11 production. It had identified the equivalent displacement of approximately
12 567 barrels of fuel consumption at Holyrood annually, with an estimated
13 savings of approximately \$18,000 in 2006.

14

15 • In 2007, based on the recommendations made in 2003 by Hartford Steam
16 Boiler Inspection and Insurance Company (HSB), Unit 3 was upgraded to allow
17 for an extension to a nine-year interval for overhauls. Within the budget
18 proposal it was estimated that this would result in a savings of approximately
19 \$1.35 million dollars in fuel consumption due to one less overhaul. During its
20 overhauls, Unit 3 is not available in synchronous condenser mode for voltage
21 support. This results in a requirement for Unit 1 or 2 to operate in generate
22 mode, at inefficient (minimum) levels of generation, in order to support the
23 system voltage.

24

25 • In 2007, the project proposal - Upgrade Unit 3 Air Preheater Steam
26 Condensate System, estimated fuel savings of \$160,000 per year, due to
27 efficiency improvements.

1 • The 2010 budget proposal for the replacement of Unit 2001 at the Francois
2 Diesel Plant was justified based on age of equipment and efficiency
3 improvements. Due to the age of Unit 2001, it was considered impractical to
4 rebuild the genset and continue to operate it beyond 30 years. The improved
5 fuel efficiency of a modern genset results in an estimated fuel savings of
6 approximately 13,600 litres per year.

7
8 • In 2012, the budget proposal to improve the method of conversion of
9 Holyrood Unit 3 to and from synchronous condenser mode of operation,
10 estimated that the period of conversion would be shortened by 11 days.
11 Occurring twice a year, this results in an estimated annual fuel saving of
12 \$830,000. Please refer to the Unit 3 overhaul period extension initiative
13 discussed previously for an explanation of the fuel savings mechanism
14 inherent in this project.

15
16 • In 2013, within the budget proposal for Installation of Variable Frequency
17 Drives on Forced Draft Fans, it states that, once operational, the VFDs will
18 yield an average annual fuel savings of \$4.7 million while the Holyrood
19 generating station is generating electricity. This project is planned to be
20 completed in 2014.

21
22 While Hydro does measure its actual expenditures against budget, Hydro does not
23 complete verification studies on each of its capital projects looking back in time, and as
24 a result the actual fuel savings data is not available. The savings are difficult to
25 quantify, due to such factors as changes in operation of diesel plants and the loading
26 and scheduling of Holyrood. However, during the budget proposal development stage,

- 1 there is a significant amount of effort in evaluating alternatives to arrive at the least
- 2 cost option.