

1 Q. (GRA, Volume II, Exhibit 9 – Cost of Service Study/Utility and Industrial Rate Design
2 Report, page 7)
3 The Lummus report lists a number of “issues worthy of investigation” and goes on
4 to say “it is recommended that NP, the CA and other interested stakeholders
5 propose options for treatment of NP curtailable load that addresses the concerns
6 discussed above”. In Exhibit 11, Review of Demand Billing to NP, page 26, it is stated
7 “Hydro and NP agree to propose changes to the wholesale demand and energy rate
8 to accommodate a change in the treatment of NP’s curtailable load at Hydro’s next
9 GRA”. The report in Exhibit 11 goes on to say “such a mechanism for the curtailable
10 load has Cost of Service implications and should be tested during a GRA process
11 where all customer groups have an opportunity to offer evidence or argument on
12 the matter”. Please specify what Hydro is proposing in this GRA with regard to the
13 treatment of NP’s curtailable load and file copies of all documentation including
14 correspondence between Hydro and NP related to investigating the issues
15 identified in the Lummus report and as stated in Exhibit 11.

16

17

18 A. In this GRA, Hydro is proposing that the parties discuss options for treatment of NP
19 curtailable load that address Hydro’s concerns discussed in Section 2.1 of the Cost
20 of Service Study/Utility and Industrial Rate Design Report (Exhibit 9). There is no
21 available documentation concerning the investigation of the issues identified in the
22 Lummus report.