

1 Q. In Board Order No. P.U. 40(2003), the Board noted that the Participating Parties
2 had proposed changes to the RSP that would cause the fuel element of the load
3 variation component to be assigned to the customer class which caused the
4 variation, as had already been the treatment of the revenue element of the load
5 variation component. The Board reviewed the evidence regarding this matter and
6 approved the amendment.

7

8 In Appendix F, page 1, Column G, the second subheading reads Col I *(1 + Col E),
9 Line 10. Please confirm that "Col I" should read "Col F".

10

11

12 A. It is confirmed that "Col I" should read "Col F" in the second subheading in
13 Appendix F, page 1, Column G.