1	Q.	In reference to Section 4.1 (Holyrood Capacity Factor) on page 18, lines 19-20, of
2		your pre-filed evidence you state: "Using Hydra's forecast Holyrood capacity factor
3		of 39% would reduce the 2015 Test Year costs allocated to Newfoundland Power by
1		\$228, 000." Other than reducing NP's allocated cost, are there other reasons
5		supporting your recommendation to use 39% for the Holyrood capacity factor
5		instead of 28% used by Hydro in the 2015 cost of service study. Please explain your
7		response in detail.
		<u>-</u>

8

10

11

A. Mr. Brockman recommends using a Holyrood capacity factor of 39% for the 2015 test year because it appears, on the basis of historical and forecast Holyrood capacity factors, that the proposed capacity factor of 28% is not representative of conditions likely to occur in the test year.

12 13 14

15

16

The following information supports Mr. Brockman's recommendation:

1. Hydro's forecast of the Holyrood capacity factor for 2015 is 39%.

2. The historical values upon which the calculation of the 5-year historical average capacity factor of 28% is based appear to be abnormal.²

17 18 19

2021

The \$228,000 reduction in the 2015 test year costs allocated to Newfoundland Power was noted in Mr. Brockman's evidence to illustrate the materiality of the recommended change.

² Ibid.

See Hydro's Amended Evidence, Table 4.4, page 4.16.