

1 **Q. Is Mr. Brockman of the opinion that the amendment to the Rate Stabilization Plan**
2 **proposed by Hydro to add a new “Energy Supply” cost component should be**
3 **approved? If yes, explain in detail why. If no, explain in detail why not.**
4

5 A. Mr. Brockman’s evidence in this proceeding addresses matters related to cost of service
6 and rate design.
7

8 Mr. Brockman has not studied, or provided evidence related to, Hydro’s business risk or
9 cost of capital. Hydro’s business risks and/or cost of capital appear to Mr. Brockman to
10 be the bases upon which the Board might consider approval of “Energy Supply” cost
11 component.
12

13 Accordingly, Mr. Brockman is not in a position to provide the opinion requested.