Page 1 of 1

1	Q.	2013 Amended General Rate Application, November 10, 2014, page 3.48, lines 5-6
2		and page 3.50, lines 1-3: Explain why and how Hydro selected the threshold of +/-
3		\$500,000 for both proposed deferral accounts.
4		
5		
6	A.	In its report dated April 13, 1992, the Board recommended that the accounting
7		treatment policy for extraordinary repairs set out in pages 17- 18 of a Peat Marwick
8		Report be adopted with a \$500,000 threshold. The policy holds that where the
9		expenses for repairs that are (i) not capital in nature, (ii) unexpected or unplanned,
10		and (iii) would cause rate shock or a shock to Hydro's earnings were they to be
11		recognized in one year, may be deferred over an extended period of time.
12		
13		Hydro selected the \$500,000 threshold for both proposed deferral accounts for this
14		same reason. That is, the cost variations in excess of \$500,000 would represent a
15		material shock to Hydro's earnings.