| 1 2 3 | Q. | [Pre-filed Evidence of J.W. Wilson & Associates, Inc., April 25, 2014 ("J.W. Wilson Evidence"), page 1] |
|-------------|----|--|
| 4 | | Dr. Wilson states that: |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | "It is our conclusion that the cost allocation steps in Hydro's filing have been |
| 7 | | carried out in general conformance with Hydro's prior filings and with the cost allocation procedures previously approved by the Board." |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | | Has Dr. Wilson reviewed whether Hydro has normalized loads, expenses, |
| 11 | | revenues, and other components of the test year in accordance with generally |
| 12 | | accepted practice, or is his statement concerned only with classification and |
| 13 | | allocation of costs? |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | A. | This statement concerns only the cost allocation steps in Hydro's filing. Dr. |
| 17 | | Wilson did not examine or evaluate Hydro's practices with respect to |
| 18 | | normalization of loads, expenses, revenues or other test year components. He did |
| 19 | | determine that Hydro made regular adjustments for variations in the RSP |
| 20 | | components, which tends to align revenues and costs over time and minimize the |
| 21 | | significance of normalization adjustments. |
| | | |