Page 1 of 3

1	Q.	[Grant Thornton Report, page 108]
2 3 4		Section 3.2.8 WBS Task 800 - Construction, page 3.9 of the <i>Holyrood Thermal Generating Station Decommissioning Study states</i> :
5 6		"Some construction will be required during demolition as a considerable portion
7		of the existing powerhouse structure will be retained for future operations.
8		Construction will include structural modifications and installation of cladding at
9		the powerhouse and the pumphouse #1, as well relocation of electrical and
10		mechanical systems and sub-surface water and sanitary connections."
11		
12		In Table 4.2.1 of the Holyrood Thermal Generating Station Decommissioning
13		Study, these construction costs are indicated to total \$3.4 million. The
14		calculation of the asset retirement obligation associated with the
15		decommissioning of the Holyrood Thermal Generating Station, as provided in
16		the response to Request for Information NP-NLH-091, includes these
17		construction costs.
18		
19		Does Grant Thornton believe that including construction costs as part of an
20		asset retirement obligation is appropriate?
21		
22		
23	A.	Our Report (page 108, line 16) notes that Hydro has used CPA Handbook Section
24		3110, Asset Retirement Obligations, as its basis for calculating its asset retirement
25		obligation ("ARO") with respect to the decommissioning of the Holyrood Thermal
26		Generating Station ("HTGS"). That section of the CPA Handbook applies to
27		"legal obligations associated with the retirement of a tangible long-lived asset
28		that result from its acquisition, construction, development or normal operations.'
29		The recognition of the liability will therefore be governed by the specific
30		decommissioning requirements established by the applicable regulatory authorities.
31		
32		The Holyrood Thermal Generating Station Decommissioning Study included in the
33		Evidence refers to "Regulatory Requirements of Decommissioning" in Section 2.3
34		It cites a number of guiding documents, legislative provisions and regulations tha
35		are expected to establish the legal obligations associated with decommissioning the
36		HTGS.

## Page 2 of 3

Limited guidance is provided under CPA 3110 regarding costs to be included in the estimate of asset retirement obligations. With respect to measurement, CPA 3110 states "The amount recognized as an asset retirement obligation shall be the best estimate of the expenditure required to settle the present obligation at the balance sheet date." Whether construction costs should be included in the costs would be determined by the specifics of the "...law, statute, ordinance or written or oral contract or by legal construction of a contract under the doctrine of promissory estoppel" which define the obligation.

The example provided in this section which most closely aligns with the HTGS decommissioning is provided in Paragraph A16 of CPA 3110:

"An asset retirement obligation may exist for component parts of a larger system. In some circumstances, the retirement of the component parts may be required before the retirement of the larger system to which the component parts belong. For example, consider an aluminum smelter that owns and operates several kilns lined with a special type of brick. The kilns have a long useful life, but the bricks wear out after approximately five years of use and are replaced on a periodic basis to maintain optimal efficiency of the kilns. Because the bricks become contaminated with hazardous chemicals while in the kiln, a law requires that when the bricks are removed, they must be disposed of at a special hazardous waste site. The obligation to dispose of those bricks is within the scope of this Section. The cost of the replacement bricks and their installation are not part of that obligation"

Applying the guidance, by analogy, to the decommissioning of the HTGS would imply that only the decommissioning costs are part of the obligation and subsequent construction costs would be excluded.

We do note that in Section 3.3.1 of the Holyrood Thermal Generating Station Decommissioning Study it states "selectively demolishing and removing only the boilerhouse will result in additional demolition costs due to the care and attention required to remove only certain parts of the building and equipment without damaging the sections that are to remain occupied and operational". As a result we are not able to determine the total impact on estimated project costs if construction costs were not included.

## Page 3 of 3

1	The construction costs will result in an asset being acquired. The question, whose
2	answer must be determined by the legal requirements surrounding the
3	decommissioning, is whether these costs will be recognized at that time in the
4	future the expenditure is made, or if an obligation currently exists which would
5	result in the current recognition of an asset.