| 1 | Q. | Further to the response to Request for Information CA-NLH-287: |
|----|----|---|
| 2 | | Please quantify the value of the savings related to the CBPP demand credit contract |
| 3 | | after the Muskrat Falls interconnection. |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | A. | In its response to CA-NLH-033 (Revision 1, Dec 9-14), Hydro has indicated marginal |
| 7 | | energy costs to be in the order of \$50/MWh in the year following the Muskrat Falls |
| 8 | | interconnection. This would suggest that savings related to the CBPP demand |
| 9 | | credit contract, arising from the improved utilization of CBPP's hydraulic |
| 10 | | generation, are in the order of \$185,000 ¹ annually. |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | However, as indicated in Hydro's response to CA-NLH-287, Hydro intends to update |
| 13 | | its marginal costs study by the end of 2015 to help further quantify the same. |
| | | |

 $^{^{1}}$ Calculated by using the energy benefit of 3,725 MWh x \$50/MWh (refer to Hydro's response to CA-NLH-056 (Revision 1, Nov 26-14).