

- 1 Q. Further to response to Request for Information NP-NLH-091:
- 2 Please provide a revised amortization expense and accretion expense assuming the
- 3 “additional demolition costs” quantified in the response to Request for Information
- 4 NP-NLH-298 are removed from the ARO calculation used in the 2013 Test Year.
- 5
- 6
- 7 A. The additional costs are appropriately included in the Holyrood Thermal Generating
- 8 Station Decommissioning Study. These costs will be incurred, as demolition would
- 9 take longer if a portion of the building is to be preserved and a new structural wall
- 10 to enclose the remaining building would be required. However, as requested, the
- 11 following would be the revised amortization and accretion expense assuming the
- 12 information in NP-NLH-298 was excluded from the ARO calculation used in the 2013
- 13 Test Year:

Table 1: Revenue Requirement Impacts (\$000s)						
	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>
Holyrood Depreciation	-	1,149	1,980	1,987	1,982	1,982
Holyrood Accretion	-	468	648	724	751	779
PCB Depreciation	-	-	64	62	60	60
PCB Accretion	-	-	68	67	61	57
NP-NLH-298 Scenario - Total Revenue Requirement Impact	-	1,617	2,760	2,840	2,854	2,878
Total Revenue Requirement (refer to IC-NLH-63)	-	1,617	2,760	3,123	3,138	3,164
Difference	-	-	-	(283)	(284)	(286)