

1 Q. [InterGroup Consultants Testimony, page 7, lines 10-11].

2 In the response to Request for Information IC-NLH-079, the following alternate rate
3 design for Newfoundland Power is provided:

Rate Component	Current Rate	IC-NLH-079 Rate	% Change
Demand	\$4.00/kW/mo	\$4.00/kW/mo	0%
1 st Block	32.46 (Mills/kwh)	27.86 (Mills/kwh)	(14%)
2 nd Block	88.05 (Mills/kwh)	136.81 (Mills/kwh)	55%

4 InterGroup Consultants identifies one of the Industrial Customers' concerns as being
5 "long term stability and predictability in electricity rates."

6 Is it InterGroup Consultants' opinion that the alternate rate design provided in the
7 response to Request for Information IC-NLH-079 is consistent with long term stability
8 and predictability in electricity rates?

9 A. Please see the Pre-filed Testimony of P. Bowman and H. Najmidinov, page 40, lines 18-
10 31. In particular, InterGroup believes the above rate is superior on a number of criteria,
11 including stability, to the rate proposed by Hydro in this GRA. InterGroup also notes that
12 as a result of negotiations over the course of many GRAs, a primary NP wholesale rate
13 design criteria is efficiency (sending marginal cost signals linked to Holyrood). In general,
14 in electricity rate setting, efficiency is a principle that often acts in opposition to rate stability
15 – they are inherently trade-offs. The industrial customers were not always involved in
16 those negotiations and therefore cannot comment on the specific intent of the parties in
17 adopting a heavily efficiency-based rate design.