

1 **Q.** (Re: Pre-filed Evidence of C. Douglas Bowman, April 25, 2014, page 19) Mr.
2 Bowman states:

3 *“However, the current design of the NP curtailable service rate is sub-optimal as*
4 *explained in the GRA Application, Volume II, Exhibit 11 (pages 25 and 26)*
5 *because NP’s Curtailable Service Customers are interrupted to shave NP’s peak*
6 *load which provides limited value to the system”.*

7
8 **Would treating Newfoundland Power’s curtailable load in the same fashion as**
9 **its thermal generation and giving Hydro the responsibility for dispatching the**
10 **curtailable load for system purposes resolve the problem referred to in the cited**
11 **passage?**

12
13 **A.** Mr. Doug Bowman believes this approach could be followed to design a
14 Curtailable Service option that resolves the problem referred to in the cited passage.
15 Mr. Doug Bowman recommends that interruptions to Curtailable Service customers
16 be limited to system emergencies (generation and transmission outages) because: 1) it
17 increases the likelihood of it being available for interruption as the number and
18 duration of interruptions under the contract will not have been used up to reduce NP
19 demand; and 2) more curtailable service load is likely to be available as the
20 expectation of fewer interruptions will encourage more customers to sign on for the
21 service. In light of the outage events experienced in the Province during the past two
22 winters, Mr. Doug Bowman recommends that interruptible/curtailable service
23 contracts be pursued for both NP and IC customers and that there be consistent
24 treatment in the cost of service study.