- Q. Reference Mr. Brockman's evidence, page 5, lines 7-9 and related footnote 7. Please indicate where on pages 59 and 62 of the Board's 1993 COS report the Board indicates that "the customer impact of cost of service allocations is more properly addressed as a rate design issue", when not in the context of the subsequent matter of rate shock implications.
- A. The reference to the 1993 COS report cited in the question refers to the Board's recommendation that the possible rate shock implications of the rural deficit allocation methodology be considered separately from the choice of allocation methodology. The Board's recommendation is consistent with Mr. Brockman's view that rate shock is a rate design issue.