## 1 Q. Labrador T&D costs

## 2 Re: PUB-NLH-84, PUB-NLH-84 rev. 1

3 Preamble:

The following table shows the changes in Labrador Interconnected Plant in Service

for the 2013 Test Year (Original Application) and for the 2015 Test Year (Amended

Application):

Function	2013 Test Year	2015 Test Year	
Production	25.9	27.0	
Transmission			
Distribution Level (46 kV)	11.3	11.7	
Transmission Level (above 46 kV)		23.5	
Muskrat Falls Construction Power	3.1		
Other Transmission Level	23.5		
Total Transmission	37.9	35.2	
Distribution	56.3	69.2	
General	13.2	16.6	
Total Plant in Service	133.3	147.9	
Muskrat Falls Construction Power	(3.1)		
Adjusted Total Plant in Service	130.2		

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

5

6

Please explain in detail the differences between the figures presented in PUB-NLH-84 for the 2013 Test Year and those presented in PUB-NLH-84 Rev. 1 for the 2015

Test Year.

In particular, please explain:

- The increase from \$25.9 to \$27.0 million for Production;
- Why Muskrat Falls Construction Power (MFCP) has been excluded from the 2015 Test Year;
  - The increase from \$56.3 million to \$69.2 million for Distribution;
  - The increase from \$13.2 million to \$16.6 million for "General";
    - The increase from \$133.3 million (Total Plant in Service) or from \$130.2 million

P۶	ıσe	2	O	F :
ГС	120	: 4	U	4

			Page 2 of 2
1		(	(Adjusted Total Plant in Service) in Test Year 2013 to \$147.9 million (Total
2		ſ	Plant in Service) in Test Year 2015.
3			
4			
5	A.	The diff	erences in plant in service presented in Hydro's response to PUB-NLH-084
6		for the 2	2013 Test Year and those in PUB-NLH-084 (Revision 1, Dec 4-14) for the
7		2015 Te	est Year for the particular items noted are as follows:
8		(i)	The increase from \$25.9 million to \$27.0 million for Production relates to a
9			2014 proposal for \$1.1 million to upgrade the gas turbine in Happy Valley -
10			Goose Bay.
11		(ii)	In the 2013 Test Year, the costs for Muskrat Falls Construction Power
12			(MFCP) assets were assumed to be fully funded by LCP and ownership
13			would be transferred to Hydro. The MFCP asset transfer to Hydro has not
14			yet occurred. Therefore, for the 2015 Test Year, the costs for (MFCP)
15			assets have been excluded.
16		(iii)	The increase from \$56.3 million to \$69.2 million for Distribution is
17			primarily due to the forecast of \$8.5 million in costs for poles, primary
18			conductor, secondary, and distribution services related to service
19			extensions, distribution upgrades and replacements in Happy Valley -
20			Goose Bay as well as transformer upgrades estimated at \$4.4 million for
21			the Labrador City Voltage Conversion project.
22		(iv)	The increase from \$13.2 million to \$16.6 million for General is due to
23			investment in information systems and other general plant which is
24			allocated to each Hydro system based on operating and maintenance
25			expense.
26		(v)	The increase from \$133.3 million to \$147.9 million in Total Plant in Service
27			is due to the reasons provided in (i) to (iv) above.