Q. Re: Wilson Pre-Filed Testimony, page 20. Dr. Wilson indicates Hydro's CDM programs have resulted in minimal industrial participation and savings to date.

Please indicate if Dr. Wilson has reviewed Hydro's CDM Report 2013 filed as part of the Annual Return circulated April 25, 2014, Table 7, page 11, which indicates that life to date energy savings from CDM total 7,287 MW.h, of which 2,769 MW.h is isolated and 4,518 MW.h is interconnected, and further that of the 4,518 MW.h of interconnected load savings, a full 3,337 MW.h is industrial CDM. Please indicate if the information in the CDM Report changes Dr. Wilson's conclusions regarding industrial participation in CDM.

 A. Dr. Wilson has not seen the referenced Report, and would not change his conclusions at this time. Dr. Wilson's statement at page 20 of the Report reflects Hydro's representations as stated in Exhibit 9, Section 4.1. Note also that GRA Table 2.2 shows reported CDM energy savings in 2012 of 3,172 MWh for one industrial program. See *GRA Application, Section 2.2.3 Conservation and Demand Management at page 2.5*. Hydro's response to IC-NLH-071 explains that these reported industrial CDM savings "associated with the Industrial Efficiency Program are the result of three retrofit projects completed through the program by Corner Brook Pulp & Paper, which included upgrades to equipment and process improvements."

Also note the apparent inconsistency between the claim in this question and the argument made in IC-PUB-017 which cites the same Report as showing that "life to date fuel cost savings from CDM total \$1.043 million per year, of which \$562 million (sic) is for isolated systems and only \$480,000 per year is for the interconnected system." Based on these figures IC-PUB-017 goes on to assert that "as industrials make up 5.7% of energy consumed on the interconnected system, this would equate to \$27,000 in fuel cost benefits to industrial customers."