Page 1 of 2

1	Q.	Reference: Exhibit 4 page 12 – section 3.3.3.
2		Please confirm the \$0.248 million lower revenues (based on implementation of the
3		CBPP revised contract) is solely based on the loss of Hydro's ability to collect the
4		10% "administration fee".
5		
6		
7	A.	The the \$0.248 million lower revenues (based on implementation of the CBPP
8		revised contract) is estimated solely based on the loss of Hydro's ability to collect
9		the 10% administration fee on non-firm power purchases. The table on the
10		following page provides the calculations to support this estimate of lower revenues
11		since the implementation of the pilot agreement.

## **Hydro Revenue Impact**

			Year(s)			
	2009-2011 <sup>(2)</sup>		2012		2013	Period Total
1. Reduction in non-firm energy usage (GWh) <sup>(1)</sup>		9.51	3.56		3.56	16.63
2. Holyrood Fuel Conversion Rate (kWh/bbl) <sup>(3)</sup>		630	630		612	
3. Average Fuel Price (\$/bbl) <sup>(4)</sup>		79.31	115.56		108.74	
4. Holyrood non-firm energy rate (\$/kWh) <sup>(5)</sup>	\$	0.1259	\$ 0.1834	\$	0.1777	
5. Avoided Non Firm Energy Costs (\$000) <sup>(6)</sup>	\$	1,197	\$ 654	\$	633	\$ 2,484
6. 10% Administration fee (\$000) <sup>(7)</sup>	\$	120	\$ 65	\$	63	\$ 248

Notes:

- 1. Based on average CBPP non firm energy use for the five years prior to the pilot agreement (includes 3% losses).
- 2. The pilot agreement commenced on April 30, 2009.
- 3. 2007 TY efficiency for 2009-2012. Proposed 2013 TY efficiency for 2013.
- 4. Average Holyrood consumption price for the year (or period).
- 5. Line 3/Line 4.
- 6. Line 4 x Line 1.
- 7. Hydro lost revenues