

1 **Q. Please confirm that the responses provided by Mr. C. Douglas Bowman to the**
2 **RFIs filed by Newfoundland Power, the Industrial Customers, the Board and**
3 **Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro in relation to the 2014 pre-filed testimony of**
4 **Mr. C. Douglas Bowman continue to reflect his general view of the principles**
5 **appropriate to the Amended GRA. If this is not the case, please indicate where Mr.**
6 **C. Douglas Bowman no longer supports his previous recommendations, has reached**
7 **a different conclusion or has adopted a competing principle for the Amended GRA.**
8

9 *A. As stated in Mr. Bowman's June 1, 2015 Pre-filed Evidence (page 3, lines 1 to 3): The*
10 *pre-filed evidence included in this report is filed with respect to Hydro's Amended 2013*
11 *GRA filing, and supersedes my April 25, 2014 Pre-filed evidence submitted with respect*
12 *to Hydro's 2013 GRA". The Amended 2013 GRA is a different filing based on a different*
13 *cost of service study, different test year and different time frame when proposed rates*
14 *will be in effect, and as a result, different priorities. Mr. Doug Bowman supports his*
15 *previous recommendations and responses to RFIs in the context of the 2013 GRA. He*
16 *likewise supports the recommendations made in his June 1, 2015 Pre-filed Evidence*
17 *within the context of the Amended 2013 GRA.*