

1 **Q. (Re: Pre-filed Testimony of Douglas Bowman, page 24). The Testimony**
2 **indicates that CA-NLH-62 shows that the benefits of the CBPP contract "are not**
3 **shared on the basis of energy ratios". However, it appears that the cited**
4 **question, CA-NLH-62, is in regard to a different exhibit and the RSP. Please**
5 **indicate how CA-NLH-62 (as cited by Mr. Douglas Bowman) indicates that the**
6 **CBPP benefits are not shared based on energy.**

7
8 A. CA-NLH-57 summarized in the table below indicates that NP accounts for 86.7%
9 of the energy demand on the system (before losses), but receives only 64.2% of the
10 benefits. The ICs account for 6.3% of the energy demand on the system (before
11 losses), but receive 30.7% of the benefits. Rural customers account for 6.9% of the
12 energy demand on the system (before losses), but receive only 5.1% of the benefits.
13 In CA-NLH-162 Hydro states in lines 15 to 17 (the reference should have been to
14 CA-NLH-**162** rather than CA-NLH-**62**) *"These fuel savings, since fuel is a common*
15 *cost, would be allocated to each customer class based upon energy ratios"*. The
16 response to CA-NLH-57 appears to indicate otherwise.

Customer	Energy Before Losses (MWh)	Energy Share (%)	Share of Savings (\$)	Share of Savings (%)
NP	5,594,300	86.7	424,000	64.2
ICs	408,400	6.3	203,000	30.7
Rural	447,300	6.9	34,000	5.1
Total	6,450,000	99.9 ²	661,000	100.0

² Does not sum to 100.0% because of rounding.