			_	
4	$\sim$	C	of Se	!
1	()	I OCT	$\Delta T \Delta D$	rvico
	u.	CUSL	UI JE	IVILE

(Amended GRA) Please provide an analysis comparing 2015 costs and revenues under CBPP's current contract to 2015 costs and revenues assuming CBPP hydro generation were treated as a separate entity with payment for energy sold to Hydro priced similarly to generation purchased from other hydraulic generation sources on the Island Interconnected System; i.e., Exploits Generation.

A.

The above request is effectively requesting Hydro to assume CBPP can be separated into two entities, one entity being the customer requiring load from Hydro and the other being a generator that sells power to Hydro. The requirement of a frequency converter dedicated to serve CBPP does not permit a clean separation of the generation and paper mill assets. CBPP can utilize all of its generation to meet its mill requirements. However, not all of its generation can be provided to the grid at 60 Hz. Therefore, the requested scenario is not possible without a full conversion of CBPP assets 60 Hz and the retirement of the frequency converter.

Under the existing Island Industrial Customer rate, CBPP pays a rate based upon their firm demand requirements from Hydro net of the CBPP generation. The current approach is consistent with historical practice in determining the Island Industrial Customer rates and is comparable with the generation credit approach applied in billing Newfoundland Power.