1	Q.	CBPP Demand Credit Agreement		
2		(Re: Respons	se to CA-NLH-56, CA-NLH-59 and CA-NLH-162) In light of the data and	
3		information	filed in the Amended 2013 GRA and the recent reduction in the oil price	
4		forecast, ple	ase update the following information for the period 2014 through 2017	
5		as it relates t	to the CBPP Demand Credit Agreement:	
6		a) th	he CBPP Agreement will save about \$600,000 annually in fuel costs (CA-	
7		N	ILH-56);	
8		b) th	here are no other system savings during this period stemming from the	
9		С	BPP Agreement;	
10		c) th	ne CBPP Agreement will save CBPP about \$640,000 annually on its	
11		e	lectricity bills (CA-NLH-59);	
12		d) th	he impact of the capacity assistance agreement entered into with CBPP	
13		0	n this analysis; and	
14		e) th	he CBPP Agreement continues to be a good deal for the electricity	
15		Co	onsumers in the Province.	
16				
17				
18	A.	a) The t	able below outlines the potential for annual savings in fuel costs based	
19		on 20	014 actual fuel consumption prices and Hydro's most recent fuel price	
20		forec	cast (March 2015) for the years 2015-2017. As indicated, there has	
21		been	a modest reduction of potential savings to approximately \$520,000	
22		annu	ally.	

Table 1 Potential Fuel Savings Arising from the CBPP Demand Credit Contract 2014-2017 Using 2014 Actual and 2015 Test Year Fuel Conversion Rates

	Energy (kWh) ⁽¹⁾	Conversion Rate (kWh/bbl)	Fuel Savings (bbls)	Average Fuel Cost (\$/bbl) ⁽²⁾		Cost Savings	
2014	3,725,000	584	6,380	\$	108.50	\$	692,230
2015	3,725,000	607	6,140	\$	67.60	\$	415,064
2016	3,725,000	607	6,140	\$	77.60	\$	476,464
2017	<u>3,725,000</u>	607	6,140	\$	82.40	\$	505,936
Totals	14.900.000		24.800			Ś	2.089.694

Notes:

- 1. Uses the energy benefit of 3.60 GWh and Hydro's Amended Application system loss factor of 3.47%.
- 2. Uses March, 2015 No. 6 fuel forecast for 2015-2017 and average Holyrod production costs.
- b) There remain no other system savings identified by Hydro during this period stemming from the CBPP Agreement.

3

5

6

7

8

9

1

2

c) Hydro is unable to determine the total potential savings to CBPP based on the most recent fuel price forecast (March 2015) under the analysis presented in Hydro's response to CA-NLH-059, because a Cost of Service study has not been completed using these prices. However, in its response to CA-NLH-059 (Revision 1, Nov 28-14), Hydro had identified approximately \$595,000 in savings annually to CBPP in each of 2016 and 2017 based on its Amended Application.

11

12

13

14

15

16

10

As indicated in c) above, Hydro is unable to determine the full impact on this analysis of the capacity assistance agreement entered into with CBPP.
However, the benefits to CBPP resulting from these arrangements, in terms of the reduction in its firm demand costs and additional revenues, are outlined in Hydro's response to CA-NLH-296.

	Page 3 of 3
e)	Based on the potential fuel savings identified in part a) which, as a Common
	Cost, would be allocated to each customer class based upon energy ratios,
	Hydro believes that the CBPP Agreement continues to be a good deal for the
	electricity consumers in the Province.