Page 1 of 1

Q. (Re: Response to CA-NLH-163) Please identify all programs along with their costs since 2009 that Hydro has implemented, or proposes for implementation, to improve, as opposed to maintain, reliability for customers. Please identify program costs and expected reliability improvement. In Hydro's opinion, do the 8% of customers who have indicated a willingness to pay for reliability improvements justify rate increases related to reliability improvements?

A.

As indicated in Hydro's response to CA-NLH-018, the focus of Hydro's capital program is on sustaining and renewing existing aging assets and on bringing new assets into service to meet growing customer demand. The scope of each project is justified on its contribution to safe, least cost and reliable power. In the past number of years, Hydro has not determined expected reliability improvements for each of its projects, on a project-by-project basis. Reliability improvements may be only one aspect of the justification for a project and as a result Hydro does not agree that it is justifying rate increases based solely on customer desire for reliability improvement.