

1 Q. Please discuss the impact to 2013 Additions to Plant in Service on CA-NLH-116 as a
 2 result of the reduced expected total expenditures shown in CA-NLH-119.

3
 4
 5 A. The 2013 Additions to Plant in Service as a result of the reduced expected total
 6 expenditures for 2013 as shown in CA-NLH-119 is reduced by \$21.2 million. Please
 7 refer to the table below for a breakdown of the expected change to plant in service.

8

(000s)	Plant In Service Original Budget 2013	Plant In Service Reforecast 2013	Variance Due to Reforecast
GENERATION	43,710.2	33,705.2	(10,005.0)
TRANSMISSION	20,044.8	21,539.1	1,494.3
RURAL SYSTEMS	31,245.9	29,071.1	(2,174.8)
GENERAL PROPERTIES	7,674.0	7,406.4	(267.6)
ALLOWANCE FOR UNFORSEEN EVENTS	2,374.4	2,204.7	(169.7)
PROJECTS APPROVED BY PU BOARD	33,555.9	23,468.5	(10,087.4)
NEW PROJECTS LESS THAN \$50,000 APPROVED BY HYDRO	147.5	147.5	0.0
TOTAL CAPITAL BUDGET	138,752.8	117,542.5	(21,210.3)

Note: The Plant in Service related to the Original Budget reflects the supplementary capital application as filed with the Board for the Holyrood Unit 1 restoration costs. This application did not reflect the insurance recoveries related to this project as they were unknown at the time of application. The reforecasted Plant in Service for 2013 does reflect insurance recoveries of \$4.6M, which when factored in reduces the decrease in Plant in Service to \$16.6M.