Page 1 of 1

1	Q.	(Re: Response to NP-NLH-27) Please provide the same comparison, but for both
2		cases base revenues from sales on proposed rates.
3		

4

5 A. Please refer to CA-NLH-152, Attachment 1, pages 1 to 3.

Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro Financial Results and Forecasts Revenue Requirement Analysis - 2013 Forecast vs. GRA Proposed Budget (\$000s)

		Revised Forecast - With GRA Rates 2013	GRA Proposed 2013	Forecast to Proposed	Reference
1	Revenue requirement				
2		560,506	565,737	(5,231)	1
3	Other revenue	2,366	2,350	16	
4	Total revenue requirement	562,872	568,087	(5,215)	
5					
6	Expenses				
7	Operating expenses				
8	Salaries and fringe benefits	76,159	77,241	(1,082)	2
9	System equipment maintenance	21,742	21,495	247	
10	Office supplies and expenses	2,604	2,571	33	
11	Professional services	7,082	7,022	60	
12	Insurance	2,490	2,211	279	3
13	Equipment rentals	1,795	1,731	64	
14	Travel	3,485	3,156	329	4
15	Miscellaneous expenses	5,533	6,380	(847)	5
16	Building rental and maintenance	1,167	1,070	97	
17	Transportation	2,222	2,273	(51)	
18	Cost recoveries	(8,955)	(9,222)	267	6
19	Allocated to non-regulated customer	(2,215)	(2,108)	(107)	
20	Net operating expenses	113,109	113,820	(711)	
21	Fuels				
22	No. 6 fuel	174,457	200,315	(25,858)	7
23	Rate stabilization plan deferral	22,287	(84)	22,371	7
24	Diesel and other	20,108	19,159	949	7
25	Total fuels	216,852	219,390	(2,538)	
26	Power purchases	60,301	58,674	1,627	8
27	Amortization	51,033	51,656	(623)	9
28	Accretion of asset retirement obligation	840	843	(3)	
29	Loss on disposal	4,386	1,304	3,082	10
30	Expenses before cost of service exclusions	446,521	445,687	834	
31	less: cost of service exclusions	(115)	(48)	(67)	
32		446,406	445,639	767	
33					
	Return on rate base	116,466	122,448	(5,982)	
	Average rate base	1,563,267	1,564,085	(818)	
	Rate of return on rate base	7.45%	7.83%	-0.38%	

Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro Financial Results and Forecasts Revenue Requirement Analysis - 2013 Forecast vs. GRA Proposed Budget (\$000s)

	Revised Forecast 2013	Proposed 2013	
1 Capital assets	1,627,700	1,633,080	
2 less: asset retirement obligation costs	(17,320)	(17,320)	
3 less: contributions in aid of construction	(21,279)	(22,269)	
4 less: accumulated depreciation	(138,889)	(140,043)	
5 Capital assets - current year	1,450,212	1,453,448	
6 Capital assets - previous year	1,387,986	1,387,986	
7 Unadjusted Capital assets - average	1,419,099	1,420,717	
8 less: Average net assets not in use	(2,205)	(3,005)	
9 Capital assets - average	1,416,894	1,417,712	
10			
11 Cash working capital allowance	5,336	5,336	Note 1
12 Fuel	50,885	50,885	Note 1
13 Materials and supplies	24,701	24,701	Note 1
14 Deferred charges15	65,451	65,451	Note 1
16 Average rate base	1,563,267	1,564,085	

Note 1: Due to materiality of the balances in the calculation it was assumed that the 2013 revised balance was consistent with the 2013 proposed

Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro Significant Adjustments To The Test Year (\$000s)

Reference	Account	Forecast	GRA Proposed	Forecast to Proposed		
		2013	2013			
1	Energy sales	560,506	565,737	(5,231)		
	The variance in energy sales is due to load.					
2	Salaries and fringe benefits	76,159	77,241	(1,082)		
	The main contributor to the variance is a c	ibutor to the variance is a decrease in forecast 2013 EFB loss .				
3	Insurance	2,490	2,211	279		
Increased costs for first 6 months of new premium renewal effective July 1, 2013 to June 30,						
4	Travel	3,485	3,156	329		
	Primarily due to forecast relocation expenses as well as safety initiatives.					
5	Miscellaneous expenses	5,533	6,380	(847)		
	Primarily due to delays in customer participation in the Energy Demand Management Program.					
6	Cost recoveries	(8,955)	(9,222)	267		
	Decrease in Energy Demand Management recoveries.	: Program deferrals parti	ally offset by increas	e in external		
7	Fuels					
	No. 6 fuel Rate stabilization plan deferral	174,457 22,287	200,315	(25,858) 22,371		
	Diesel and other	20,108	(84) 19,159	949		
	Total fuels	216,852	219,390	(2,538)		
	No. 6 and diesel fuel variance is due to vol deferral variance is due to changes in hydi There is no COS variance in GRA proposed	raulic production, load a		•		
8	Power purchases	60,301	58,674	1,627		
	Primarily due to increased wind energy production and higher supplier costs.					
9	Depreciation	51,033	51,656	(623)		
	The in service date of capital projects was delayed resulting in reduced depreciation expense.					
10	Loss on disposal	4,386	1,304	3,082		
	Higher loss on disposal of assets and addit	ion of removal costs.				