

1 Q. (Re: Pre-filed Testimony of P. Bowman and H. Najmidinov, page 12, lines 27
2 to 28). It is stated "*The proposed increases are especially problematic for the*
3 *IIC Group given the savings this group has provided to the overall system*".
4 Please provide a list of all programs and actions taken by the IIC Group
5 since 2006 that resulted in the system savings referred to in this statement and
6 quantify the system savings that resulted from each.

7 A. The three IIC Group customers, CBPP, NARL and Teck are the only remaining
8 members of the industrial class as it existed in previous GRAs.

9 That group has combined loads today that are less than half the level as of the
10 2007 Test Year (from 894 GW.h to 370 GW.h)¹, which is a further reduction from
11 the load levels of the GRA previous in 2001 (1,388 GW.h).

12 The reductions have in large part been unrelated to "programs", but instead to
13 shutdowns, closures of aspects of operations (e.g., closure of paper machine),
14 mixed with normal ongoing measures to enhance energy efficiency. InterGroup
15 does not have specific system savings by programs and action taken by the IIC
16 Group. However, these reductions have substantially benefited all Island
17 Interconnected customers.

18 These overall reductions have resulted in material grid-wide savings for all
19 customers, as the quantity of No. 6 fuel required to serve the Island has been
20 reduced by over 900,000 barrels since 2007. These load changes provide a net
21 cost saving of approximately \$100 million at today's fuel prices².

¹ Hydro's 2013 GRA, Regulated Activities, Schedule II.

² Please see Table 4-2 of Pre-filed testimony of P. Bowman and H. Najmidinov.